Point in Time Count of the Homeless Population of Pinellas County
# TABLE OF CONTENTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Executive Summary</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Homeless Count</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unsheltered Data</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race/Ethnicity</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing Status</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homeless at Entry</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eviction / Forced to Leave</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Place following eviction</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of Time</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Times homeless in the last 3 years</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of homelessness</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marital, Veteran, and Disability Status</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reasons associated with current homelessness</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Domestic Violence</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homeless Status upon arrival to Pinellas County</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current Living Situation</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unsheltered Homeless Summary</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School Data</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cross-checking with Sheltered and Unsheltered Datasets</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethnicity</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Free/Reduced Lunch</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exceptional Education Status</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing Status</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summary of School Data</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sheltered Data</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disability, Veteran, and Marital Status</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This report contains a count of the homeless population in Pinellas County, Florida. This count is based upon four sources: an unsheltered homeless count (N=1644), a sheltered homeless count (N=1474), a count conducted by law enforcement (N = 302), and a count of students reported as experiencing homelessness by the Pinellas County School System (N=2529). These four sources combined for a total of 5,949 individuals contained in this year’s count. Demographic information and/or results of specific survey questions are reported. Similarity of demographic information across sheltered and unsheltered homeless data (e.g. predominantly single, male, Caucasian, with equal ratios of disability and veteran status) suggest reliability in these data. Additional questions provide insight concerning issues associated with homeless status (e.g. employment, length of homelessness). Recommendations focus on the need to examine sampling issues and to determine which survey questions are the most useful going forward.
TOTAL HOMELESS COUNT

The count of homeless individuals in Pinellas County in this report is derived from four sources comprising a count of unsheltered homeless individuals, sheltered homeless individuals, a law enforcement count, and data received from the Pinellas County School District concerning children who have experienced homelessness during the 2009-2010 school year. The unsheltered and law enforcement data is based upon a Point In Time (PIT) count taken on January 28, 2010 in which volunteers and law enforcement officers went into the community and counted and/or surveyed unsheltered homeless individuals. The sheltered count is based upon reporting from participating homeless shelter providers. Data from these four sources yielded an estimate of 5949 individuals experiencing homelessness in Pinellas County. Data for each of these sources are summarized in detail in separate sections of this report.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Homeless Data Type</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unsheltered</td>
<td>1644</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School Data</td>
<td>2529</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sheltered</td>
<td>1474</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Law Enforcement</td>
<td>302</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>5949</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

UNSHELTERED DATA

Results in this section are presented for the PIT count of unsheltered homeless persons in Pinellas County. Results provided a count of 1644 unsheltered homeless individuals. The following tables provide a descriptive analysis of this population based upon PIT survey results.

GENDER

Results indicate that majority of unsheltered homeless persons were male (73.6%). Note that in the table below, percentages are calculated based upon the total sample and then again based upon those who provided a response to the question. The data on the left include the total sample of 1644 individuals and the data on the right exclude the 17 individuals who did not provide a response to the gender question. For the sake of consistency, the percentages reported in the text will be based upon those who answered the question, although the tables will provide this information for both the total sample and for those responding to the question. Note also that volunteers may or may not be instructed to record the gender of the individual for the 2011 PIT count in lieu of a response from the individual if that person’s gender is in fact clear to the volunteer. Doing so would provide more clarity concerning the total sample.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>1197</td>
<td>72.8</td>
<td>1197</td>
<td>73.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>427</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>427</td>
<td>26.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transgender</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Race and ethnicity were assessed via two questions. The first asked for the individual’s primary race and the second concerned whether the individual was or was not of Hispanic origin. Results indicated that 66.2% of respondents stated that their primary race was Caucasian, while 25.2% indicated that their primary race was African-American. A number of respondents classified themselves as ‘Other’, ‘Refused’, or ‘Don’t Know’. While ‘Hispanic’ is not considered to be a race, it may be that the lack of a Hispanic or Latino option for this question created ambiguity that was associated with a response of ‘Other’ or ‘Refused’.

Among those who stated that they were of Hispanic origin in response to the second question, almost two-thirds had responded ‘Other’, ‘Multi-Racial, or ‘Refused’ in response to the question concerning their primary race. While there are costs and benefits associated with any method of surveying individuals regarding their race/ethnicity, an approach in which respondents are able to select Hispanic/Latino as their primary race/ethnicity may be preferable.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primary Race</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>American Indian or Alaska Native</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black or African American</td>
<td>404</td>
<td>24.6</td>
<td>404</td>
<td>25.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>1060</td>
<td>64.5</td>
<td>1060</td>
<td>66.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Multi-Racial</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refused</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don’t Know</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1644</td>
<td></td>
<td>1602</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Respondents were asked “Which best describes where you stayed Thursday night, January 28, 2010”. More than half stated that it was a place not meant for habitation. A much smaller percentage (9.1%) stated that they were in a rental situation with no housing subsidy. A combined total of approximately 14.9% were living with a friend or family member. Placement in transitional housing was stated by 7.9% of respondents. Each of the remaining options was stated by less than 5% of respondents.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Last Stayed:</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Place not meant for habitation inclusive of non-housing service site only</td>
<td>896</td>
<td>54.5</td>
<td>896</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staying or living in a friend's room, apartment or house</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>9.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rental by client, no housing subsidy</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>9.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transitional housing for homeless persons (including homeless youth)</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>7.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staying or living in a family member's room, apartment or house</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>5.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emergency shelter, including hotel or motel paid for with emergency shelter</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hotel or motel paid for without emergency shelter voucher</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Owned by client, no housing subsidy</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rental by client, with other (non-VASH) housing subsidy</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substance abuse treatment facility or detoxification center</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospital (non-psychiatric)</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jail, prison or juvenile detention facility</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychiatric hospital or other psychiatric facility</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Owned by client, with housing subsidy</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permanent housing for formerly homeless persons (such as SHP, S+C, or SRO Mod Rehab)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rental by client, with VASH housing subsidy</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safe Haven</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don't Know</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refused</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1644</td>
<td></td>
<td>1601</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based upon respondents’ reports above, individuals were classified as being either ‘Literally Homeless’ (69.8%), ‘Housed and at risk of losing housing’ (23.5%), or ‘Housed and at imminent risk of losing housing’ (6.8%). Another group of 82 individuals were classified as being ‘Stably Housed’ and were excluded from the count based upon this classification.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Housing Status</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Literally Homeless</td>
<td>1116</td>
<td>67.9</td>
<td>1116</td>
<td>69.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Housed and at-risk of losing housing | 376 | 22.9 | 376 | 23.5
Housed and at imminent risk of losing housing | 108 | 6.6 | 108 | 6.8
Don't Know | 38 | 2.3 |
Refused | 6 | 0.4 |
Total | 1644 | 1600

HOMELESS AT ENTRY

The 51 individuals who stayed at a 1) Hospital (non-psychiatric), 2) Jail, prison or juvenile detention facility, 3) Psychiatric Hospital or other psychiatric facility, or 4) Substance abuse treatment facility, were asked if they were homeless at entry. Among those providing a response, 64.4% reported that they were homeless at entry.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Were you homeless at entry?</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>56.9</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>64.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>31.4</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>35.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don't Know</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refused</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>45</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

EVICITION / FORCED TO LEAVE

The 471 individuals who reported that they were 1) Staying with friends or family, 2) Staying in a place they rented or owned, or 3) Staying in a Hotel or Motel without assistance, were asked if they were being evicted or forced to leave. Of those providing a response, the majority (75.5%) reported that they were not being evicted or forced to leave, while 24.5% reported that they were.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Are you being evicted / forced to leave?</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>321</td>
<td>68.2</td>
<td>321</td>
<td>75.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>22.1</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>24.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don't Know</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refused</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>471</td>
<td>425</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NEW PLACE FOLLOWING EVICTION

Among the 104 individuals above who reported that they were being evicted or forced to leave their residence and who provided a response, 88.5% indicated that they did not have a place and money to support them at a new place.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Do you have a place and money to support you at your new place?</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>81.7</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>88.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


**LENGTH OF TIME**

Respondents were then asked how long they have stayed in the place they were on January 28, 2010. Percentages vary by location. Among those residing in a place not meant for habitation, 331 individuals (37.9%) report residing in that location for more than one year and an additional 182 (20.8%) report residing in that location for 90 days to one year. These percentages provide an estimate of the percentage of those living a place not meant for habitation for an extended time period. Across all categories, 31.8% report residing in the place they stayed on January 28, 2010 for more than one year, while 21.5% report doing so for 90 days to 1 year.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Length of Time</th>
<th>1 week or less</th>
<th>7 to 30 days</th>
<th>30 to 90 days</th>
<th>90 days to 1 year</th>
<th>More than 1 year</th>
<th>Don't know</th>
<th>Refused</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Place not meant for habitation inclusive of non-housing service site (outreach programs only)</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>331</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>%</td>
<td>8.9%</td>
<td>15.1%</td>
<td>16.2%</td>
<td>20.3%</td>
<td>36.9%</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staying or living in a friend’s room, apartment or house</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>%</td>
<td>27.9%</td>
<td>29.3%</td>
<td>18.4%</td>
<td>12.9%</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rental by client, no housing subsidy</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>%</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
<td>8.2%</td>
<td>21.9%</td>
<td>27.4%</td>
<td>37.7%</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transitional housing for homeless persons (including homeless youth)</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>%</td>
<td>8.7%</td>
<td>18.9%</td>
<td>18.9%</td>
<td>26.0%</td>
<td>22.8%</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
<td>3.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staying or living in a family member’s room, apartment or house</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>%</td>
<td>11.0%</td>
<td>16.5%</td>
<td>20.9%</td>
<td>16.5%</td>
<td>33.0%</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emergency shelter, including hotel or motel paid for with emergency shelter voucher</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>%</td>
<td>33.3%</td>
<td>27.8%</td>
<td>20.4%</td>
<td>14.8%</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Table:**

- **Place not meant for habitation inclusive of non-housing service site (outreach programs only):**
  - Total: 896
  - More than 1 year: 331
  - 90 days to 1 year: 182
  - 30 to 90 days: 145
  - 7 to 30 days: 135
  - 1 week or less: 80

- **Staying or living in a friend’s room, apartment or house:**
  - Total: 147
  - More than 1 year: 14
  - 90 days to 1 year: 19
  - 7 to 30 days: 27
  - 1 week or less: 41

- **Rental by client, no housing subsidy:**
  - Total: 146
  - More than 1 year: 5
  - 90 days to 1 year: 14
  - 7 to 30 days: 32
  - 1 week or less: 4

- **Transitional housing for homeless persons (including homeless youth):**
  - Total: 127
  - More than 1 year: 29
  - 90 days to 1 year: 33
  - 7 to 30 days: 24
  - 1 week or less: 11

- **Staying or living in a family member’s room, apartment or house:**
  - Total: 91
  - More than 1 year: 2
  - 90 days to 1 year: 15
  - 7 to 30 days: 19
  - 1 week or less: 10

- **Emergency shelter, including hotel or motel paid for with emergency shelter voucher:**
  - Total: 54
  - More than 1 year: 1
  - 90 days to 1 year: 8
  - 7 to 30 days: 11
  - 1 week or less: 18
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hotel or motel paid for without emergency shelter voucher</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>42</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>21.4%</td>
<td>14.3%</td>
<td>26.2%</td>
<td>28.6%</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>21.4%</td>
<td>14.3%</td>
<td>26.2%</td>
<td>28.6%</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Owned by client, no housing subsidy</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>16</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>22</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>18.2%</td>
<td>72.7%</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>4.8%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>19.0%</td>
<td>76.2%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rental by client, with other (non-VASH) housing subsidy</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>17</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>5.9%</td>
<td>41.2%</td>
<td>47.1%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>5.9%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>6.2%</td>
<td>43.8%</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substance abuse treatment facility or detoxification center</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>16</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>25.0%</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td>12.5%</td>
<td>6.2%</td>
<td>6.2%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>26.7%</td>
<td>53.3%</td>
<td>13.3%</td>
<td>6.7%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hospital (non-psychiatric)</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>16</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>18.8%</td>
<td>25.0%</td>
<td>18.8%</td>
<td>18.8%</td>
<td>12.5%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>6.2%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>20.0%</td>
<td>26.7%</td>
<td>20.0%</td>
<td>20.0%</td>
<td>13.3%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Jail, prison or juvenile detention facility</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>13</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>7.7%</td>
<td>23.1%</td>
<td>15.4%</td>
<td>38.5%</td>
<td>15.4%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>7.7%</td>
<td>23.1%</td>
<td>15.4%</td>
<td>38.5%</td>
<td>15.4%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Psychiatric hospital or other psychiatric facility</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>33.3%</td>
<td>16.7%</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>33.3%</td>
<td>16.7%</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Owned by client, with housing subsidy</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>20.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>20.0%</td>
<td>60.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>20.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>20.0%</td>
<td>60.0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Safe Haven</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rental by client, with VASH housing subsidy</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Permanent housing for formerly homeless persons(such as SHP, S+C,</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Respondents were asked how many times they have been homeless in the last three years. Results indicate that among those providing a response 32.9% report being homeless 2-3 times in the past three years, while 28% report being homeless 4 or more times in the last three years. These data provide an additional estimate of chronic homelessness.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>How many times homeless in the last 3yrs?</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>First Time Homeless</td>
<td>516</td>
<td>31.4%</td>
<td>516</td>
<td>39.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-3 times in the past</td>
<td>435</td>
<td>26.5%</td>
<td>435</td>
<td>32.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic: 4 times in past 3 years</td>
<td>370</td>
<td>22.5%</td>
<td>370</td>
<td>28.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Applicable</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>12.5%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refused</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>4.4%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don’t Know</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1644</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>1321</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results presented below indicate that 24.6% of those providing a response report being homeless for 90 days to 1 year, while the largest percentage (36.3%) report being homeless for more than one year during their current period of homelessness.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>How long have you been homeless this time?</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 week or less</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>5.6%</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>7.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 to 30 days</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>10.9%</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>14.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 to 90 days</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>13.1%</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>17.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90 days to 1 year</td>
<td>307</td>
<td>18.7%</td>
<td>307</td>
<td>24.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Survey results indicate that only 7.8% of respondents providing an answer describe themselves as married or coupled. A majority describe themselves as either single (59.1%) or divorced (22.3%). Veteran status was endorsed by 17.8% or 282 respondents, while 42.5% or 660 respondents who answered the question stated that they have a disability. Additional questions indicated that a mental health problem was endorsed by 17.7% of respondents, a developmental disability by 2%, a physical medical problem by 24.4%, an alcohol/drug problem by 4.8%, and HIV/AIDS by 1.2%. Taking comorbidity into account, these percentages are consistent with the overall percentage of respondents reporting a disability (42.5%).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Marital Status</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Single</td>
<td>946</td>
<td>57.5</td>
<td>946</td>
<td>59.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Divorced</td>
<td>357</td>
<td>21.7</td>
<td>357</td>
<td>22.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Separated</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>6.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>5.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Widowed</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coupled</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refused</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don't Know</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1644</td>
<td></td>
<td>1602</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>U.S. Military Veteran</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>1299</td>
<td>79.0</td>
<td>1299</td>
<td>82.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>282</td>
<td>17.2</td>
<td>282</td>
<td>17.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refused</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don't Know</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1644</td>
<td></td>
<td>1581</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Disability</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>892</td>
<td>54.3</td>
<td>892</td>
<td>57.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>660</td>
<td>40.1</td>
<td>660</td>
<td>42.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don't Know</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refused</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1644</td>
<td></td>
<td>1552</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mental Health Problem</td>
<td>Developmental Disability</td>
<td>Physical/Medical Problem</td>
<td>Alcohol/Drug Problem</td>
<td>HIV/AIDS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>16.70%</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>1.90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>1277</td>
<td>77.70%</td>
<td>1521</td>
<td>92.50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>5.60%</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>5.60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1644</td>
<td>1644</td>
<td>1644</td>
<td>1644</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note that the five categories above are presented twice: once with ‘Unknown’ responses included and once without.

**REASONS ASSOCIATED WITH CURRENT HOMELESSNESS**

By far, the most common reason endorsed for current homelessness was financial problems (56.2%). Financial problems can be the most proximal reason for homelessness in many cases. Difficulties in other areas below can all be associated with homelessness, and were reported to some degree by respondents. Running from Foster Care and Turned 18 and Forced to Leave were both noticeably infrequent responses. This can be due to an undersampling of this younger population, an unwillingness to disclose that one is running from foster care, or a true low frequency correlate of homelessness in Pinellas County.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Financial</th>
<th>Domestic Violence</th>
<th>Alcohol/Drug Problem</th>
<th>Mental Health Problem</th>
<th>Family Breakup</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>720</td>
<td>43.8%</td>
<td>1589</td>
<td>96.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>924</td>
<td>56.2%</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Eviction</th>
<th>Medical Problem</th>
<th>Foreclosure</th>
<th>Release from Jail/Prison</th>
<th>Running from Foster Care</th>
<th>Turned 18 and Forced to Leave</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>1515</td>
<td>92.2%</td>
<td>1531</td>
<td>93.1%</td>
<td>1619</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>7.8%</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>6.9%</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**DOMESTIC VIOLENCE**
Respondents’ status as a domestic violence victim/survivor was reported by 4.5% of respondents who provided an answer to this question. This is consistent with the 3.3% of respondents who reported domestic violence as a reason for their current homelessness.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Domestic violence victim/survivor</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>1465</td>
<td>89.1</td>
<td>1465</td>
<td>95.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refused</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don't Know</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1644</td>
<td></td>
<td>1534</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**EMPLOYMENT**

Questions regarding employment indicate that approximately one-quarter of respondents (24.2%) report having worked during the past month. Of those unemployed, more than half (62.6%) of those providing a response report looking for work. Among those who report having worked in the last month, their type of employment varied, with 23.6% reporting permanent employment, 38.1% reporting temporary employment, 32.6% day labor, and 3.5% seasonal. It is difficult to provide an accurate picture of these processes with only three questions. They provide a glimpse of these issues, which are likely central given that financial difficulties are reported as a reason for homelessness among a majority of respondents. However, each person likely has a different set of complex issues associated with their employment status that renders the utility of general conclusions suspect for individuals.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Have you worked during the past month?</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>1245</td>
<td>75.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>399</td>
<td>24.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1644</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>If unemployed: Looking for work?</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>391</td>
<td>31.4</td>
<td>391</td>
<td>37.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>654</td>
<td>52.5</td>
<td>654</td>
<td>62.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Applicable</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refused</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don't Know</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1245</td>
<td></td>
<td>1045</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>If yes, was this work...</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Temporary</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>38.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day Labor</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>32.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permanent</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>23.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seasonal</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
HOMELESS STATUS UPON ARRIVAL TO PINELLAS COUNTY

It is not clear why one-third of respondents refused to answer this question. Among those who did answer, more than ten times the amount of respondents indicated that they were not homeless when they came to Pinellas County. This suggests that individuals are more likely to become homeless while residing in Pinellas County than to arrive in Pinellas while homeless.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Were you homeless when you came to Pinellas County?</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>9.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>969</td>
<td>58.9</td>
<td>969</td>
<td>90.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refused</td>
<td>572</td>
<td>34.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1644</td>
<td></td>
<td>1072</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LOCATION

Results indicate that a majority of the unsheltered homeless reported that they mainly stay in Saint Petersburg (58%). Clearwater was the second most likely location (16.1%), with Pinellas Park at 5.5% and Tarpon Springs at 4.9%. Other locations had much smaller reported populations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bradenton (Manatee)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clearwater</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>16.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dunedin</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ft. Myers (Lee)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gulfport</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holiday (Pasco)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kenneth City</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Largo</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macon (Leon)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Madeira Beach</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miami (Dade)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Port Richey (Pasco)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CURRENT LIVING SITUATION

When questioned concerning their current living situation, 70.2% reported being a single male and 21.9% reported being a single female. These percentages are consistent with earlier results indicating that most respondents reported being currently single.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Single Male</td>
<td>1147</td>
<td>69.8%</td>
<td>1147</td>
<td>70.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single Female</td>
<td>357</td>
<td>21.7%</td>
<td>357</td>
<td>21.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female Single Parent</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two Parent Family</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male Single Parent</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two Parent Family with No Children</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grandparent</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legal Guardian</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caregiver</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refused</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1644</td>
<td></td>
<td>1633</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A large subset of questions was asked to specify the number of children living with unsheltered homeless adults in Pinellas County. Given access to the school records of homeless children, a choice was made to use that data for the purpose of the official count. However, the number of children reported by unsheltered homeless adults is shown below. These numbers may be somewhat higher as those with 5 or more children may have more than five. With this caveat, respondents reported having 267 children, of which 136 were currently staying with them. Among those staying with them, 116 were reported to be underage.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>How many children do you have?</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Children</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1533</td>
<td>93.2%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 or more</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1644</td>
<td></td>
<td>267</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>How many stay with you now?</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Children</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1577</td>
<td>95.9%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 or more</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1644</td>
<td></td>
<td>136</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>How many living with you are underage?</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Children</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
### UNSHELTERED HOMELESS SUMMARY

Overall, 1644 homeless individuals were surveyed. The majority were single, male, and Caucasian. Approximately half of those surveyed reported living in a place not meant for habitation. Of these, approximately half were living in the same place for three months or more. More than half of all respondents providing an answer reported being homeless two or more times in the last three years. The homeless population surveyed reported that 17.8% were Veterans and 42.5% had a disability.

Although several potential reasons were offered for homelessness (including Alcohol/Drug Problems, Family Breakup, Eviction, etc.) the primary reason associated with current homelessness was reported to be financial (56.2%). One-quarter of respondents reported having worked in the last month. The majority of these reported working in day labor (32.6%) or temporary positions (38.1%). Of those not working, 62.6% of those providing a response reported looking for work.

A minority of respondents who provided a response (9.6%) reported being homeless when they came to Pinellas County. Most of the homeless population reported their location as being Saint Petersburg or Clearwater.
SCHOOL DATA

School data were based upon records received from the Pinellas County School System. School records indicated that 2529 students were classified as homeless at some point during the 2009-2010 school year.

CROSS-CHECKING WITH SHELTERED AND UNSHELTERED DATASETS

These data were cross-checked with names in the sheltered homeless data. A group of 41 students present in both datasets were removed from the school data. Only three older students were contained in both the school and unsheltered homeless datasets. These three students were retained in the school dataset and were removed from the unsheltered dataset.

GENDER

There was an almost equal gender distribution with 50.1% male and 49.9% female.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>1263</td>
<td>49.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>1266</td>
<td>50.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>2529</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GRADE

Results presented in the table below suggest that higher numbers of students are reported to be homeless at the lower grade levels.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PK</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KG</td>
<td>365</td>
<td>14.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>299</td>
<td>11.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>9.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>257</td>
<td>10.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>8.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>7.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Results presented below indicate that 50.9% of students experiencing homelessness were Caucasian, with 29.9% African-American. Other groups were each represented by less than 10% of the population.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ethnicity</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>African-American, non-Hispanic</td>
<td>754</td>
<td>29.8</td>
<td>754</td>
<td>29.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian / Pacific Islander</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caucasian, non-Hispanic</td>
<td>1285</td>
<td>50.8</td>
<td>1285</td>
<td>50.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>8.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native American / Alaskan native</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiracial</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>5.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>missing data</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>2529</td>
<td></td>
<td>2529</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results presented below indicate that almost all of the students who applied for free-lunch were found to be eligible, while 15.7% of students did not apply.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lunch Status</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Did Not Apply</td>
<td>398</td>
<td>15.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Applied Not Eligible</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eligible for Free Lunch</td>
<td>2113</td>
<td>83.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eligible for Reduced Lunch</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>2529</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results presented below do not suggest that students experiencing homelessness were largely over-represented in any special education category.
Students experiencing homelessness often moved to different schools throughout the school year. Each time they moved, a different status might be recorded. We chose to use the last recorded homeless status for each student. These are summarized in the first table below. Records indicate that the majority of students (71.1%) were reported to be sharing the housing of other persons. A subset of 17.8% were reported to be living in an emergency or transitional shelter yet were not listed in the sheltered data for which client-level data were available. Results also indicate that the last recorded status for 28 students was ‘currently not homeless’. For these students, their last recorded homeless status during the 2009-2010 school year is indicated in the second table below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exceptionality</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No Exceptionality</td>
<td>1964</td>
<td>77.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orthopedically Impaired</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speech Impaired</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Language Impaired</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deaf or Hard Of Hearing</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visually Impaired</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emotional/Behavioral Disabled</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific Learning Disabled</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>6.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gifted</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autism Spectrum Disorder</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traumatic Brain Injured</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Developmentally Delayed</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Health Impaired</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intellectual Disability</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>2529</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**HOUSING STATUS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Current Housing Status</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Living in emergency or transitional shelter</td>
<td>449</td>
<td>17.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sharing the housing of other persons</td>
<td>1799</td>
<td>71.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Living in cars, parks, campgrounds etc</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Living in hotels or motels</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Awaiting foster care</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Currently not homeless</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>2529</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Prior Status for 28 currently not homeless</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Living in emergency or transitional shelter</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>21.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sharing the housing of other persons</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>53.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Living in cars, parks, campgrounds etc</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Living in hotels or motels</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>14.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SUMMARY OF SCHOOL DATA

Records submitted by the Pinellas County School District identified 2529 children who were classified as being homeless during the 2009-2010 school year. Results indicate an equal distribution of boys and girls with most of either Caucasian or African-American ethnicity. Higher percentages of homeless children were reported at lower grade levels. Almost all children who applied received free lunch. The majority of children were reported to be sharing the housing of other persons.

SHELTERED DATA

Sheltered data were submitted by the participating Pinellas County homeless shelters. Data were provided for 1474 homeless individuals residing in shelters.

GENDER

Results presented below indicate that 69.1% of sheltered homeless individuals were male and 30.9% female, with one individual reported as transgendered and three refused to answer. These percentages are very similar to those among the unsheltered homeless population reported previously in this report, which were 73.6% male and 26.2% female.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>N</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>454</td>
<td>30.8</td>
<td>454</td>
<td>30.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>1016</td>
<td>68.9</td>
<td>1016</td>
<td>69.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transgendered</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>refused</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1474</td>
<td></td>
<td>1471</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RACE

Results presented below indicate that 64.4% of the sheltered homeless population was Caucasian and 29.3% was African-American, with smaller percentages reported for other races. These percentages are similar to those reported among the unsheltered homeless population, which were 66.2% Caucasian and 25.2% African-American.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>N</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>American Indian or Alaska Native</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black or African American</td>
<td>426</td>
<td>28.9</td>
<td>426</td>
<td>29.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>937</td>
<td>63.6</td>
<td>937</td>
<td>64.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
RESULTS PRESENTED BELOW INDICATE THAT 38.6% OF THE SHELTERED HOMELESS POPULATION WHO PROVIDED A RESPONSE WAS CLASSIFIED AS BEING DISABLED, WHICH IS CONSISTENT WITH THE 42.5% REPORTED EARLIER FOR THE UNSHelterED HOMELESS. VETERAN STATUS WAS REPORTED FOR 20.7% OF THE SHELTERED HOMELESS WHO PROVIDED A RESPONSE, WHICH IS CONSISTENT WITH THE 17.8% REPORTED EARLIER FOR THE UNSHelterED HOMELESS. DATA FOR MARITAL STATUS WAS INCOMPLETE, WITH DATA MISSING FOR 80% OF INDIVIDUALS. HOWEVER, WHERE DATA WERE PRESENT, THE MAJORITY OF INDIVIDUALS WERE SINGLE, SEPARATED, DIVORCED, OR WIDOWED. THIS DATA IS CONSISTENT WITH THAT REPORTED PREVIOUSLY FOR THE UNSHelterED HOMELESS, WHICH INDICATED THAT ONLY 7.8% WERE EITHER MARRIED OR COUPLED.

### Disability Status

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Disability Status</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>799</td>
<td>54.2</td>
<td>799</td>
<td>61.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>503</td>
<td>34.1</td>
<td>503</td>
<td>38.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don't Know</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refused</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missing</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1474</td>
<td></td>
<td>1302</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Veteran Status

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Veteran Status</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>1121</td>
<td>76.1</td>
<td>1121</td>
<td>79.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>292</td>
<td>19.8</td>
<td>292</td>
<td>20.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don't Know</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refused</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1474</td>
<td></td>
<td>1413</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Marital Status

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Marital Status</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Single</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>51.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Divorced</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>36.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DISABILITY, VETERAN, AND MARITAL STATUS
PRIOR LIVING SITUATION

Results presented below indicate the prior living situation reported for the sheltered homeless population. Results indicate that a majority of those who responded were residing either in an emergency shelter (34.4%) or a place not meant for habitation (23.6%).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Prior Living Situation</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Emergency shelter, including hotel or motel paid for with emergency shelter voucher</td>
<td>462</td>
<td>31.3</td>
<td>462</td>
<td>34.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Place not meant for habitation inclusive of non-housing service site (outreach programs only)</td>
<td>317</td>
<td>21.5</td>
<td>317</td>
<td>23.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staying or living in a friend’s room, apartment or house</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>6.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transitional housing for homeless persons (including homeless youth)</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staying or living in a family member’s room, apartment or house</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>5.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On the Street</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>5.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rental by client, no housing subsidy</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substance abuse treatment facility or detox center</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hotel or motel paid for without emergency shelter voucher</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jail, prison or juvenile detention facility</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospital (non-psychiatric)</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychiatric hospital or other psychiatric facility</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Owned by client, no housing subsidy</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permanent housing for formerly homeless persons(such as SHP, S+C, or SRO Mod Rehab)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subsidized Housing</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rental by client, with other (non-VASH) housing subsidy</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foster care home or foster care group home</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safe Haven</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Domestic Violence Situation</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don’t Know</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refused</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missing</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
LENGTH OF STAY

The current length of stay for each individual is reported below. These results indicate that 20.9% have resided in a shelter for one year or longer, with an additional 20.5% residing in a shelter for more than 3 months. These percentages are lower than those reported among the unsheltered homeless, where 31.8% reporting staying in the same location for more than one year and an additional 21.5% reporting having done so for more than three months.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Length of Stay</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>One week or less</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>14.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than one week, but less than one month</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>16.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One to three months</td>
<td>316</td>
<td>21.5</td>
<td>316</td>
<td>27.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than three months, but less than one year</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>20.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One year or longer</td>
<td>243</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>243</td>
<td>20.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don't Know</td>
<td>305</td>
<td>20.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refused</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missing</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1474</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>1162</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HOUSING STATUS

Results presented below indicate that there was limited variability in responses to this question. The majority of individuals in homeless shelters who provided a response (88.1%) were reported to be literally homeless.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Housing Status</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Literally Homeless</td>
<td>1078</td>
<td>73.1</td>
<td>1078</td>
<td>88.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imminently losing their housing</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stably housed</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housed and at imminent risk of losing housing</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
HOUSEHOLD TYPE

Results presented below indicate that among households, the most frequently reported types were ‘female single parent’ (33.2%) and ‘single parent- female head of household’ (20.8%). There were 1137 cases that did not have a household type reported.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Household Type</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No Dependents-Couple, Non Married</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Couple With No Children</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dual Parent-Married</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>9.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female Single Parent</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>33.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grandparent(s) and Child</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male Single Parent</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No Dependents-Single Male</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>11.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other-Non Relative</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other-Relative/Kinship Care-Female Head of Household</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single Female</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single Parent-Female Head of Household</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>20.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single Parent-Male Head of Household</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two Parent Family</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total*</td>
<td>337</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* there were 1137 missing cases for this variable

SERVICE PROVIDER

The table below lists the sheltered homeless count by service provider. The largest percentage of sheltered homeless individuals resided at Catholic Charities, Pinellas Hope (19.1%).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service Provider</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service Description</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ALPHA House Transitional Living Program</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASAP Family Shelter</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASAP Women And Children's Shelter</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASAP Women’s Emergency Shelter</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boley Centers Homeless Supportive Housing Program, Grove Park Apartments</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boley Centers Homeless Supportive Housing Program, Grove Street Apartments</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boley Centers Homeless Supportive Housing Program, Kenwood Apartments</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boley Centers Homeless Supportive Housing Program, Marconi Apartments</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boley Centers Homeless Supportive Housing Program, Parkside Apartments</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boley Centers Mid-County Safe Haven (Morningside)</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boley Centers Permanent Supportive Housing, 128 Place Apartments</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boley Centers Permanent Supportive Housing, Clam Bayou Apartments</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boley Centers Permanent Supportive Housing, Dave Miller Apartments</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boley Centers Permanent Supportive Housing, Safe Haven Apartments</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boley Centers Permanent Supportive Housing, Twin Brooks Apartments</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boley Centers Tenant Based Rental Assistance (TBRA) City of St. Petersburg HOME Voucher Program</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boley Centers Tenant Based Rental Assistance, Shelter Plus Care Phase 2</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boley Centers Tenant Based Rental Assistance, Shelter Plus Care</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boley Centers Transitional Housing Program, The Oaks Apartments</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boley Centers, Bayou Pass Apartments</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boley Centers, Residential Services</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catholic Charities, Pinellas Hope</td>
<td>282</td>
<td>19.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHIP Emergency Shelter</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHIP Transitional Housing</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homeless Emergency Project (HEP) Emergency Shelter</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homeless Emergency Project (HEP) Homeless Outreach, Housing</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homeless Emergency Project (HEP) Permanent Housing for Families with Disabilities</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homeless Emergency Project (HEP) Permanent Housing for Individuals with Disabilities - Carlton</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homeless Emergency Project (HEP) Permanent Housing for Individuals with Disabilities - Phase 1</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homeless Emergency Project (HEP) Permanent Housing for Individuals with Disabilities</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homeless Emergency Project (HEP) Transitional Housing</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operation PAR, PAR Village</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pinellas Hope, Medical Respite Services</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R.C.S. (Religious Community Services), Grace House</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salvation Army Clearwater Transitional Housing</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salvation Army St. Petersburg Emergency Shelter (W,M,W/C,Coup/C)</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salvation Army St. Petersburg Transitional Housing</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. Petersburg Free Clinic, Beacon House Men's Shelter</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. Petersburg Free Clinic, Women's Residence</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. Vincent de Paul Center of Hope Transitional Housing</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. Vincent de Paul Night-Time Shelter</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Still Standing Emergency Shelter</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Still Standing Transitional Housing</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Touched By An Angel Ministry, Transitional Housing</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SHELTERED HOMELESS SUMMARY

Individual level data reports indicated 1474 individuals living in participating homeless shelters in Pinellas County. Demographic data were similar to that of the unsheltered homeless population. Most were reported to be single. A majority were male and either Caucasian or African-American. Disability status was reported by 38.6% and Veteran status was reported by 20.7% of those providing a response. The length of stay was reported to be 3 months or more for 41.4% of sheltered homeless individuals. The majority were reported to be literally homeless upon arrival to the shelter.

TBIN NON-PARTICIPATING PROVIDERS PIT COUNT

A table of non-participating providers was included along with individual level data associated with sheltered and unsheltered homeless counts. A decision was made not to include these counts in the final homeless count. Comparison of these data with individual level sheltered homeless data indicated that those shelters highlighted in green below had also submitted individual level data that was included in the sheltered homeless count, whereas those shelters highlighted in blue below had not.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>Program Name</th>
<th>Men</th>
<th>Women</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Boley Centers Inc</td>
<td>Pinellas County Safe Haven</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boley Centers Inc</td>
<td>Morningside Safe Haven</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CASA</td>
<td>Transitional Housing</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saint Vincent de Paul</td>
<td>Night Shelter</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Center for Consumer and Economic Justice, Inc</td>
<td>Still Standing emergency Shelter Men</td>
<td>2745</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Center for Consumer and Economic Justice, Inc</td>
<td>Still Standing Emergency Shelter Men</td>
<td>2861</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Center for Consumer and Economic Justice, Inc</td>
<td>Still Standing Transitional Housing</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Center for Consumer and Economic Justice, Inc</td>
<td>Still Standing Emergency Shelter Female</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boley Centers Inc</td>
<td>Bayou Pass Apartments</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boley Centers Inc</td>
<td>Parkside Apartments</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boley Centers Inc</td>
<td>Rutland Bussey Apartments</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boley Centers Inc</td>
<td>Kenwood Apartments</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boley Centers Inc</td>
<td>Marconi apartments</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boley Centers Inc</td>
<td>Grove Street Apartments</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boley Centers Inc</td>
<td>Grove Park Village Apartments</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boley Centers Inc</td>
<td>The Oaks Transitional Housing</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boley Centers Inc</td>
<td>Dome district Apartments</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boley Centers Inc</td>
<td>Dome District Apartments</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boley Centers Inc</td>
<td>Butterfly Apartments</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boley Centers Inc</td>
<td>Clam Bayou Apartments - Phase I</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boley Centers Inc</td>
<td>Clam Bayou Apartments - Phase II</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boley Centers Inc</td>
<td>Dave Miller Apartments</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boley Centers Inc</td>
<td>Betty Rutland Bussey Apartments</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boley Centers Inc</td>
<td>128 Place Apartments</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boley Centers, Inc</td>
<td>Twin Brooks Apartments - Phase 1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salvation Army St Petersburg</td>
<td>Transitional housing</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salvation Army St Petersburg</td>
<td>Emergency Shelter</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YWCA of Tampa Bay</td>
<td>Family Village Transitional Housing</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YWCA of Tampa Bay</td>
<td>Family Village Emergency Housing</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catholic Charities</td>
<td>Christopher House</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catholic Charities</td>
<td>Christopher House Family Residence</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catholic Charities</td>
<td>Christopher House Perm Supportive</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catholic Charities</td>
<td>Pinellas Hope Medical Respite Program</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catholic Charities</td>
<td>Pinellas Hope</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>283</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St Pete Free Clinic</td>
<td>Beacon House Transitional Housing</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St Pete Free Clinic</td>
<td>Beacon House Emergency Shelter</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Westcare</td>
<td>Turning Point</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Westcare Gulfcoast</td>
<td>Mustard Seed Inn</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCS</td>
<td>The Haven Shelter</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCS</td>
<td>The Haven TLP</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCS</td>
<td>Grace House</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HEP</td>
<td>Emergency Housing Adult shelter</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HEP</td>
<td>Outreach Shelter</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kimberly House</td>
<td>Transitional Housing</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>People That Love</td>
<td>Emergency Shelter</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salvation Army Clearwater</td>
<td>Transitional Housing Center</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHIP</td>
<td>Emergency Shelter (Men)</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHIP</td>
<td>Emergency Shelter (Women)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHIP</td>
<td>Emergency shelter</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHIP</td>
<td>Transitional Housing</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St Petersburg Free Clinic</td>
<td>Women’s Residence</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alpha House</td>
<td>Transitional housing</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HEP</td>
<td>Phase 1</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HEP</td>
<td>Carlton SHP</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HEP</td>
<td>Baty Villas</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HEP</td>
<td>Transitional Housing</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saint Vincent de Paul</td>
<td>Center of Hope</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HEP</td>
<td>Fairburn Transitional Housing</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HEP</td>
<td>Transitional Housing</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brookwood</td>
<td>Brookwood</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### CRIMINAL AND JUSTICE SERVICES COUNT

A final source for counting the homeless population in Pinellas County is conducted by law enforcement officials. This count was performed on the evening of January 22, 2010. Individuals included in the count are from places where law enforcement officials report that they usually find homeless individuals congregating. An effort is made to exclude individuals counted from places are within walking distance of a feeding location (1-2 miles) and all downtown areas throughout the county, as these individuals may be included in surveys done at the feeding locations. The official number reported for the count this year was 302.

### CONCLUSIONS/RECOMMENDATIONS

The task of identifying the homeless population in Pinellas County is challenging. Homelessness takes many forms and decisions must be made as to how to best identify those who are homeless and obtain the most reliable information necessary to inform needed services.

The first primary challenge is to identify those who are in fact homeless. Counts of sheltered homeless are the most reliable as they are taken from known locations. The challenge here is to assure that all locations are accounted for and that the information requested is limited to that which is necessary for the task of counting the homeless in Pinellas County.

Counts of unsheltered homeless individuals are more difficult as care must be taken concerning the locations where surveys take place. Care must also be taken not to double-count those who are surveyed by volunteers and those who are counted by law enforcement. The issue of incomplete data must also be addressed as almost all survey data were incomplete and the percentages reported could only be based upon those for which data was provided.

A difficulty with the school level data is that they identify children who had been homeless at some point during the year, while the unsheltered and sheltered homeless data focus on those who were homeless on January 28, 2010. A related question is how best to obtain data relating to children. A decision was made to rely on the school level data in lieu of survey data. In this case, survey data concerning homeless children may be eliminated for the 2011 count.
Despite these challenges, congruence between sheltered and unsheltered demographic data suggested some degree of reliability. Many of the questions asked provided useful insight into the nature of factors associated with homelessness in Pinellas County. Going forward, the primary challenge is to assure that processes produce the most reliable count possible, with the need for each piece of additional data examined in relation to the specific purposes for which that data will be used.