



**BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS**

DATE: March 8, 2011  
AGENDA ITEM NO. 10

Consent Agenda  Regular Agenda  Public Hearing

**County Administrator's Signature:** 

**Subject:**  
Approval of Ranking of Consultant Selection- Limerock Bearing Ratio and Geotechnical Testing Services  
Contract No. 101-0029-CN (AM)

**Department:** Public Works / Purchasing  
**Staff Member Responsible:** Pete Yauch / Joe Lauro

**Recommended Action:**  
I RECOMMEND THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS (BOARD) APPROVE THE RANKING OF FIRMS AND AUTHORIZE STAFF TO NEGOTIATE AN AGREEMENT WITH EACH OF THE FOUR (4) TOP RANKED FIRMS FOR CONSULTANT SERVICES FOR LIMEROCK BEARING RATIO AND GEOTECHNICAL TESTING SERVICES ON A CONTINUING BASIS AS OUTLINED IN THE REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL.

**Summary Explanation/Background:**  
On November 26, 2010, in accordance with the Consultants' Competitive Negotiation Act (CCNA) requirements, the Purchasing Department on behalf of the Public Works Department let a Request for Proposal (RFP) to obtain the services of firms to perform limerock bearing ratio and geotechnical testing services. More specifically, the intent of the RFP was to obtain resources from qualified firms to provide limerock bearing ratio tests relating to various County construction projects, to ensure compliance with applicable material and project specifications.

The evaluation committee consisting of one representative from the Public Works Department, two representatives from the Real Estate Management Department, a representative from the Utilities Department, along with a representative from the Purchasing Department acting as a facilitator, met on January 19, 2011 to evaluate and rank the six (6) proposals received.

The committee evaluated the firms per the following criteria based on a potential score of 1000 points:

- |   |            |
|---|------------|
| 1. Ability of the Firm and its Professional Personnel                   | 375 points |
| 2. Firm's Experience with Projects of Similar Size and Past Performance | 350 points |
| 3. Volume of Work Previously Awarded by the County                      | 50 points  |
| 4. Effect of the Firm's Current and Projected Workload                  | 125 points |
| 5. Minority Business Status   | 50 points  |
| 6. Location   | 50 points  |

The order of ranking of qualified firms after evaluation of the proposal are as follows:

1. Nodarse & Associates, Inc,	904.56
2. Driggers Engineering Services, Inc,	857.19
3. Rare Earth Sciences, Inc., d/b/a HSA Engineers & Scientists	795.50
4. Nova Engineering & Environmental, LLC.	794.19
5. Gulf Coast Testing Laboratory, Inc.	761.94
6. MACTEC Engineering and Consulting, Inc.	737.81

At the direction of the Board, Purchasing Department staff will begin negotiations with the four (4) highest ranking firms in accordance with County CCNA procedures. Final negotiated agreements will be presented to the Board for consideration at a later date.

**Fiscal Impact/Cost/Revenue Summary:**

Prior to negotiations, the total estimated aggregate value of this contract is an amount not to exceed \$1.2 million for the potential three year term of the agreements with four (4) firms. Pending Board approval, each firm will be awarded a contract in an amount not to exceed \$300,000.00 over the three year term totaling \$1.2 million. The County Administrator has delegated authority to increase the upset limits of agreements as long as the negotiated rates remain the same.

**Exhibits/Attachments Attached:**

Contract Review  
Evaluation Criteria Tabulation



**PURCHASING DEPARTMENT  
CONTRACT REVIEW TRANSMITTAL**

CATS  
NO.:  
**34830**

**PROJECT: LIMEROCK BEARING RATIO (LBR) GEOTECHNICAL TESTING SERVICES**

**BID NUMBER: 101-0029-CN (AM) REQ. NUMBER:**

**TYPE:**  **Purchase Contract**     **Other:**     **Construction-Less than \$100,000**     **One Time**

In accordance with the policy guide for Contract Administration, the attached documents are submitted for review and comment. Upon completion of review, complete Contract Review Transmittal and forward to next Review Authority listed. Please indicate suggested changes by revising, in RED, the appropriate section of the document reflecting the exact wording of the change.

**RISK MANAGEMENT:** Please enter required liability coverage on pages: 13  
This is an annual contract. Good Faith Estimate Expenditure from Department: Per Request Form from Department **\$600,000**

REVIEW SEQUENCE	REVIEW AUTHORITY	REVIEW DATE	REVIEW SIGNATURE	COMMENTS (Attach Separate page if necessary)	COMMENTS INCORPORATED
1.	<u>Purchasing Dept.</u> Joseph Lauro, Director Candy Mancuso, Asst. Dir Amelia McFarlane, Sr. PA	9/30/10 9/30/10	<i>[Signature]</i>		
2. 2A.	<u>Requesting Dept.: PW</u> Jan Herbst, Dorothy Lennox <b>Paul Sacco REM</b>	10/5/10 10/5/10	<i>[Signature]</i> <i>[Signature]</i> <i>[Signature]</i>	Added Project Length Page 10	<i>[Signature]</i>

Using Dept please provide below information:

Yes, funding for this requisition is using grant Funding.  No, funding for this requisition is not using grant Funding.

If grant funding is being used you must provide Purchasing with the exact clauses that need to be on attached document.

3.	<u>Risk Management</u> Attn: Will Davis <i>[Signature]</i> (Check applicable box at right)	10/20/10	<i>[Signature]</i>		HIGH RISK NOT HIGH RISK
4.	<u>BCC Finance</u> Attn: Cassandra Williams	11/2/10	<i>[Signature]</i>		
5.	<u>Asst. County Administrator</u> Pete Yauch	10/6/10	<i>[Signature]</i>		
6.	<u>Asst. County Administrator/Chief of Staff</u> Attn: Mark Woodard	11/5/10	<i>[Signature]</i>	Scope should be sufficiently done broad to encompass utilities needs too.	<i>[Signature]</i>
7.	<u>Legal</u> Attn: Michelle Wallace	11/5/10	<i>[Signature]</i>	Will sign finalized agreement	<i>[Signature]</i>

**\*\*RETURN ALL DOCUMENTS TO PURCHASING\*\***

Make all inquiries to:	Amelia McFarlane, CPPB Sr. Procurement Analyst	at Extension 43149
In order to meet the required schedule, please return your requirements to Purchasing by: 10/19/2010		

Revise 08/2010

TENTATIVE DATES
Legal Ad-BID/RFP Mail Out: TBD
BID/RFP Opening: TBD
Board/County Admin/Purchasing Director Approval: TBD

**PINELLAS COUNTY EVALUATION CRITERIA TABULATION**

Limerock Bearing Ratio and Geotechnical Testing Services  
101-0029-CN (AM)

COMPANY NAME	EVALUATOR	EVALUATOR	EVALUATOR	EVALUATOR	TOTAL POINTS	TOTAL AVERAGE	RANK
	Paul Giuliani	Brian Landry	Andrew Pupke	Dave Slonena			
Nodarse & Associates, Inc.	901.75	902.50	908.75	905.25	3618.25	904.56	1
Driggers Engineering Services, Inc.	858.75	852.50	858.75	858.75	3428.75	857.19	2
Rare Earth Sciences, Inc. D/B/A HSA Engineers & Scientists	773.25	787.50	811.25	810.00	3182.00	795.50	3
Nova Engineering & Environmental, LLC.	801.25	798.75	775.00	801.75	3176.75	794.19	4
Gulf Coast Testing Laboratory, Inc.	765.25	750.00	767.50	765.00	3047.75	761.94	5
MACTEC Engineering and Consulting, Inc.	727.00	726.25	756.25	741.75	2951.25	737.81	6

Date: January 19, 2011

---