

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	Q	R	S	T	U	V		
1				TITLE: WASTE TO ENERGY CONSULTING SERVICES (CONSULTING ENGINEER)																
2				BID # 101-0120-CN(AM)																
3				DATE: April 4th, 2011																
4																				
5																				
6																				
7							Bob Hauser	Bob Humberstone	Kelsi Oswald	Keshwar Ramjattan	Tom Washburn									
8			Potential Points	Criteria	Consensus Range		Total Score		Total Score		Total Score		Total Score		Total Score		TOTAL POINTS	TOTAL AVERAGE		
9		1	Burns and Roe					No 1		No 2		No 3		No 4		No 5				
10			375	Ability of Firm and its Professional Personnel	40-60	1	45%	168.75	45%	168.75	55%	206.25	50%	187.50	50%	187.50	918.75	183.75		
11			350	Firm Experience with Projects of Similar Size and Past Performance	40-60	2	45%	157.50	45%	157.50	45%	157.50	45%	157.50	50%	175.00	805.00	161.00		
12			50	Volume of Work Previously Awarded bt the County		3	100%	50.00	100%	50.00	100%	50.00	100%	50.00	100%	50.00	250.00	50.00		
13			125	Effect of the Firms Current and Projected Workload	10-30	4	10%	12.50	10%	12.50	10%	12.50	10%	12.50	10%	12.50	62.50	12.50		
14			50	Minority Business Status		5	0%	0.00	0%	0.00	0%	0.00	0%	0.00	0%	0.00	0.00	0.00		
15			50	Location		6	0%	0.00	0%	0.00	0%	0.00	0%	0.00	0%	0.00	0.00	0.00		
16			1000	Total				388.75		388.75		426.25		407.50		425.00	2036.25	407.25		
17																				
18							Bob Hauser	Bob Humberstone	Kelsi Oswald	Keshwar Ramjattan	Tom Washburn									
19			Potential Points	Criteria	Consensus Range		Total Score		Total Score		Total Score		Total Score		Total Score		TOTAL POINTS	TOTAL AVERAGE		
20		2	Camp Dresser & McKee, Inc., (CDM)					No 1		No 2		No 3		No 4		No 5				
21			375	Ability of Firm and its Professional Personnel	70-90	1	90%	337.50	90%	337.50	90%	337.50	90%	337.50	90%	337.50	1687.50	337.50		
22			350	Firm Experience with Projects of Similar Size and Past Performance	70-90	2	90%	315.00	90%	315.00	90%	315.00	90%	315.00	90%	315.00	1575.00	315.00		
23			50	Volume of Work Previously Awarded bt the County		3	0%	0.00	0%	0.00	0%	0.00	0%	0.00	0%	0.00	0.00	0.00		

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	Q	R	S	T	U	V
24			125	Effect of the Firms Current and Projected Workload	70-90	4	90%	112.50	90%	112.50	90%	112.50	90%	112.50	90%	112.50	562.50	112.50
25			50	Minority Business Status		5	0%	0.00	0%	0.00	0%	0.00	0%	0.00	0%	0.00	0.00	0.00
26			50	Location		6	100%	50.00	100%	50.00	100%	50.00	100%	50.00	100%	50.00	250.00	50.00
27			1000	Total				815.00		815.00		815.00		815.00		815.00	4075.00	815.00
28																		
29							Bob Hauser	Bob Humberstone	Kelsi Oswald	Keshwar Ramjattan	Tom Washburn							
30			Potential Points	Criteria	Consensus Range		Total Score		Total Score		Total Score		Total Score		Total Score		TOTAL POINTS	TOTAL AVERAGE
31		3	Environmental Consulting & Technology, Inc. , d/b/a ECT				No 1		No 2		No 3		No 4		No 5			
32			375	Ability of Firm and its Professional Personnel	10-30	1	15%	56.25	15%	56.25	10%	37.50	15%	56.25	15%	56.25	262.50	52.50
33			350	Firm Experience with Projects of Similar Size and Past Performance	10-30	2	10%	35.00	10%	35.00	10%	35.00	10%	35.00	10%	35.00	175.00	35.00
34			50	Volume of Work Previously Awarded bt the County		3	100%	50.00	100%	50.00	100%	50.00	100%	50.00	100%	50.00	250.00	50.00
35			125	Effect of the Firms Current and Projected Workload	70-90	4	90%	112.50	90%	112.50	90%	112.50	90%	112.50	90%	112.50	562.50	112.50
36			50	Minority Business Status		5	0%	0.00	0%	0.00	0%	0.00	0%	0.00	0%	0.00	0.00	0.00
37			50	Location		6	100%	50.00	100%	50.00	100%	50.00	100%	50.00	100%	50.00	250.00	50.00
38			1000	Total				303.75		303.75		285.00		303.75		303.75	1500.00	300.00
39																		
40							Bob Hauser	Bob Humberstone	Kelsi Oswald	Keshwar Ramjattan	Tom Washburn							
41			Potential Points	Criteria	Consensus Range		Total Score		Total Score		Total Score		Total Score		Total Score		TOTAL POINTS	TOTAL AVERAGE
42		4	Halcrow, Inc.				No 1		No 2		No 3		No 4		No 5			
43			375	Ability of Firm and its Professional Personnel	10-30	1	25%	93.75	25%	93.75	20%	75.00	20%	75.00	25%	93.75	431.25	86.25
44			350	Firm Experience with Projects of Similar Size and Past Performance	10-30	2	15%	52.50	20%	70.00	15%	52.50	15%	52.50	15%	52.50	280.00	56.00

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	Q	R	S	T	U	V
45			50	Volume of Work Previously Awarded bt the County		3	100%	50.00	100%	50.00	100%	50.00	100%	50.00	100%	50.00	250.00	50.00
46			125	Effect of the Firms Current and Projected Workload	70-90	4	90%	112.50	90%	112.50	90%	112.50	90%	112.50	90%	112.50	562.50	112.50
47			50	Minority Business Status		5	0%	0.00	0%	0.00	0%	0.00	0%	0.00	0%	0.00	0.00	0.00
48			50	Location		6	100%	50.00	100%	50.00	100%	50.00	100%	50.00	100%	50.00	250.00	50.00
49			1000	Total				358.75		376.25		340.00		340.00		358.75	1773.75	354.75
50																		
51							Bob Hauser	Bob Humberstone	Kelsi Oswald	Keshwar Ramjattan	Tom Washburn							
52			Potential Points	Criteria	Consensus Range		Total Score		Total Score		Total Score		Total Score		Total Score		TOTAL POINTS	TOTAL AVERAGE
53		5	KPI Engineering, Inc.				No 1		No 2		No 3		No 4		No 5			
54			375	Ability of Firm and its Professional Personnel	0	1	0%	0.00	0%	0.00	0%	0.00	0%	0.00	0%	0.00	0.00	0.00
55			350	Firm Experience with Projects of Similar Size and Past Performance	0	2	0%	0.00	0%	0.00	0%	0.00	0%	0.00	0%	0.00	0.00	0.00
56			50	Volume of Work Previously Awarded bt the County		3	100%	50.00	100%	50.00	100%	50.00	100%	50.00	100%	50.00	250.00	50.00
57			125	Effect of the Firms Current and Projected Workload	70-90	4	90%	112.50	90%	112.50	90%	112.50	90%	112.50	90%	112.50	562.50	112.50
58			50	Minority Business Status		5	0%	0.00	0%	0.00	0%	0.00	0%	0.00	0%	0.00	0.00	0.00
59			50	Location		6	100%	50.00	100%	50.00	100%	50.00	100%	50.00	100%	50.00	250.00	50.00
60			1000	Total				212.50		212.50		212.50		212.50		212.50	1062.50	212.50
61																		
62							Bob Hauser	Bob Humberstone	Kelsi Oswald	Keshwar Ramjattan	Tom Washburn							
63			Potential Points	Criteria	Consensus Range		Total Score		Total Score		Total Score		Total Score		Total Score		TOTAL POINTS	TOTAL AVERAGE
64		6	Malcom Pirnie, the Water Division of ARCADIS U.S., Inc.				No 1		No 2		No 3		No 4		No 5			
65			375	Ability of Firm and its Professional Personnel	70-90	1	80%	300.00	80%	300.00	80%	300.00	85%	318.75	80%	300.00	1518.75	303.75

