Project Limits

Honeymoon Island State Park, west of Royal Stewart Arms Parkway east to the intersection of Gary Place/Gary Circle on Ward Island

Approximately 2.0 miles long
Project Need – Bridge Condition

- Relief Bridge (#150067)
  - Sufficiency Rating: 58.0 / 100
  - Year Built: 1963 (51 years old)
  - Functionally Obsolete
    - Deck Width – “Intolerable”
    - Substandard Bridge Rails
    - Narrow Multi-Use Path – 6 ft
  - Substandard Capacity to Resist Wave or Vessel Impact Damage
  - Scour Critical
Project Need – Bridge Condition

- **Main Bridge (#150068)**
  - Year Built 1963 (51 years old)
  - Sufficiency Rating: 48.6 / 100
  - **Functionally Obsolete**
    - Deck Width – Intolerable
    - Substandard Bridge Rails
    - Narrow Multi-Use Path – 6 ft
  - Substandard Capacity to Resist Wave or Vessel Impact Damage
  - Ongoing Maintenance and Repair Affects Reliability
  - Scour Critical
Project Scope

- Relief Bridge / Main Bridge Alternatives
  - No Build
  - Rehabilitation
  - Replacement
Project Goal

Identify and develop a Preferred Alternative that is supported by a consensus of stakeholders and addresses transportation and community needs for mobility and safety, with minimal environmental, social and economic impacts.
Overview of NEPA

National Environmental Policy Act of 1969

- Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) Approval Assures NEPA Compliance
  - Final Authority – Approval of Preferred Alternative
  - Approval required if federal funds are used
  - Approval required to qualify for future federal funding

FDOT PD&E Process – Assures compliance with NEPA
Overview of NEPA

FHWA NEPA Process

“The FHWA NEPA project development process is an approach to balanced transportation decision making that takes into account the potential impacts on the human and natural environment and the public’s need for safe and efficient transportation.”

Source: FHWA Website
Overall Project Approach

1. ETDM/Advanced Notification
2. Identify Community Concerns & Goals
3. Environmental/Engineering Data Collection
4. Alternatives Development
5. Alternatives Analysis and Evaluation
6. Technical Reports
7. Present Viable Alternatives to Community
8. Select Recommended Alternative
9. Community “Buy-In”/Public Hearing
10. NEPA Documentation
11. Location and Design Concept Concept Approval - FHWA
Key Issues - Community Involvement

Wide Range of Stakeholders

- Pinellas County
- City of Dunedin
- Residents
- Boaters
- Local Visitors /Tourists
- Business Owners
- Honeymoon Island State Park
- Emergency Services
- Pinellas Trail Users
Community Groups

- Dunedin Causeway Bridge Ad Hoc Advisory Committee
- Causeway and Coastal Waterway Committee
- Dunedin Causeway Preservation Group
- Dunedin Beach Civic Association
  - Ward Island Condominium Residents
  - Mediterranean Manor (on Alt US 19)
- Royal Stewart Arms Condominiums
  - 7 separate HOAs
  - 450 units
- Waterfront Task Force
  - More than 30 community groups/businesses represented
Community Concerns

- Direct Involvement/Representation during Study
- Preservation of Beach and Unique Recreational Access
- Preservation/Enhancement Natural Beauty
- Bicycle/Pedestrians Facilities on Bridges
- Potential Visual Impacts
Community Involvement Approach

- Solicit Input Early
  - Initial Community Kick-Off Meeting to Identify Concerns
  - Initial Meeting with Local Governments/Agencies
- Dunedin Ad-Hoc Advisory Committee
  - Key Community Representatives
- Provide Clear, Accurate and Timely Information
  - About the PD&E Process
  - Costs and Potential Impacts of Alternatives
  - Computer Renderings/Animations
Community Involvement Approach

- Alternatives Workshop
- Project Website
- Newsletters
- Post Information at State Park
- Post on Organization Websites
- Stakeholder Group Meetings
- Public Hearing
Key Issues - Environmental

- Wetlands and Essential Fish Habitat
  - Conduct Seagrass Surveys
  - Minimize Seagrass-Wetland Impacts
  - Develop Mitigation
Key Issues - Environmental

Wildlife and Habitat

- Surveys
- Early Agency Coordination
- Coordination with Honeymoon Island State Park
- Protected Species
  - Manatees
  - Nesting Sea Turtles
  - Wading Bird Rookeries
Key Issues - Environmental

Cultural Resources

- Main Bridge and Tide Relief Bridges

Not likely eligible for listing in the National Register of Historic Places

Causeway - (Manmade Island)

Low Archaeological Potential

- Maritime Archeological Survey may be Required

Major Historic Florida Seaport
Key Issues - Environmental

Noise

- Conduct Noise Study
- Noise Sensitive Sites
  - Residences
  - Recreational Areas
Key Issues - Environmental

Potential Section 4(f) Recreational Lands

- Pinellas Trail
- Recreational Areas on Causeway
- Rotary Park
- Honeymoon Island State Park
Key Issues - Engineering / Design

- Limit Impacts to the Recreation and Natural Areas
- Maintain Recreational Access
- Avoid Utility Relocations
- Maintain Evacuation Route
- Identify Cost Effective Solution
- Enhance Trail Connectivity across Causeway
- Roadway Improvements Limited to Bridge Approaches
Key Issues – Relief Bridge

- Alternatives
  - No-Build
  - Rehabilitation
  - Replacement

- Vertical Profile – Slightly Higher than Existing
  - Above Wave Crest – Wave Vulnerability
  - Above Salt Spray – Corrosion Protection
Relief Bridge Horizontal Alignment

Options include:

- Existing Alignment
- Partial Offset North
- Partial Offset South

Partial Offset to the South

- Maintains 2 Lanes of Traffic During Construction
- Avoids Right-of-Way Impacts
- Avoids Utility Impacts
Key Issues – Main Bridge

- Alternatives
  - No-Build
  - Rehabilitation
  - Replacement

- Vertical Alignment Options
  - Low-Level Bascule Bridge
  - Mid-Level Bascule Bridge
  - High-Level Fixed Bridge
Main Bridge Vertical Alignment Options

Low-Level Bascule (21’ Vertical Clearance)

- Maintains Existing Touchdown Points
- Same Grades as Existing
- Does Not Meet Minimum Height for Wave Vulnerability and Corrosion

1934’
Main Bridge Vertical Alignment Options

Mid-Level Bascule (45’ Vertical Clearance)

- Touchdown Points are Slightly Extended
- Same Grades as Existing
- Meets Minimum Height for Wave Vulnerability and Corrosion

2867’
Main Bridge Vertical Alignment Options

High-Level Fixed (65’ Vertical Clearance)

- Touchdown Points are Extended
- Grades Exceed Existing
- Meets Minimum Height for Wave Vulnerability and Corrosion

3350’
Main Bridge Horizontal Alignments

Full Offset to North

- Impacts City Water Main and Force Main Utilities
- Impacts Recreation Areas on North Side
- Increases Recreation Areas on South Side
Main Bridge Horizontal Alignments

Full Offset to South
- Possible Impacts to Gas Main
- Impacts Recreation Areas on South Side
- Increases Recreation Area on North Side
Main Bridge Horizontal Alignments

- Existing Alignment (w/Temporary Bridge)
  - Temporary Impacts to Recreation Areas
  - Temporary Wetland Impacts
- Reduces Permanent Impacts
- Avoids Utility Impacts
## Schedule – 24 Months

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task</th>
<th>2014</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Notice to Proceed</td>
<td>Dec</td>
<td>Jan</td>
<td>Feb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aerial Photography / Survey</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Community Involvement</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial Large Scale Public Kick-Off Meeting</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHPO Approval of Area of Potential Effects</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engineering &amp; Environ Data Collection</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cultural Resource Assessment Survey</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sec 4(f) Determination of Applicability - DOA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop Typical Section</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop Alternatives</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Draft Engineering Reports</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Draft Environmental Reports</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alternatives Analysis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Draft Preliminary Engineering Report</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alternatives Public Meeting</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Select Preferred Alternative</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Draft Section 4(f) Evaluation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Draft Environmental Document</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Hearing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final Section 4(f) Evaluation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final Environmental / Engineering Reports</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final Environmental Document</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final Preliminary Engineering Report</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FHWA Approval</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Indicates key milestones or events.
Questions & Answers