

WEB SITE SUBMITTALS AS OF FRIDAY, FEBRUARY 5, 2010

Please note – comments are verbatim as received at the website

- Received Wednesday, February 3, 2010 2:55 PM

I AM VERY MUCH AGAINST THE SUPERVISOR OF ELECTIONS OFFICE BECOMING NON-PARTISAN. WHEN ONE WHO IS RUNNING FOR THIS OFFICE IS DEFINED BY HIS POLITICAL PARTY, THE VOTER IS MUCH MORE LIKELY TO UNDERSTAND THE FOUNDATIONAL PRINCIPLES BY WHICH THIS INDIVIDUAL WOULD RUN HIS/HER OFFICE. THEREFORE, KEEP THE COUNTY SUPERVISOR OF ELECTIONS OFFICE A PARTISAN OFFICE. THANK YOU FOR YOUR TIME AND CONSIDERATION.

BARBARA STEPHENS

barbarasteve2@yahoo.com

- Received Wednesday, February 3, 2010 3:03 PM

What is important to me now is issue #2. Non-partisan Election of Supervisor of Elections.

I feel strongly that we need to keep this as a partisan election. Many people do not study the candidates but do line up with the party that they belong to, otherwise they would not be in that party.

Let's do the right thing and leave this one as is.

Eric Scharn

escharn@yahoo.com

- Received Wednesday, February 3, 2010 4:50 PM

I oppose the idea of making the Supervisor of Elections a non-partisan office. Whether the Supervisor is a Republican or Democrat.....I want to know.

Dr. Robert R. Lawrence

bobrl@live.com

- Received Wednesday, February 3, 2010 9:49 PM

Dear Charter Commissioners:

I hear that you are considering having the Supervisor of election race be considered non-partisan. I do believe that is a wrong idea. I want to know WHO I am electing and their party shows me who they are. For some reason in regards to elections we get very little information on who we are electing. I think everything about an individual needs to be on the table for the public to see and decide for themselves.

Thank you for listening.

Sincerely,

Donna Norman

Donna Norman

dnorman24@tampabay.rr.com

- Received Thursday, February 4, 2010 9:04 AM

Do not change any more races to non partisan. This destroys the voting process. What are you thinking. Who came up with this idea? I would like to vote against them in the next election.

Anonymous

- Received Thursday, February 4, 2010 9:12 AM

Regarding your consideration regarding changing the Supervisor of Elections race to be voted as a non-partisan seat, I personally think you should leave it alone. I prefer to see the party that each person is affiliated with. As I believe the majority do as well. At least those I have been in contact with.

Things are working perfectly fine and do not to be changed. Why cause more confusion than necessary? Leave it alone.

RC

ronman240@hotmail.com

- Received Thursday, February 4, 2010 7:15 PM

I want the process left alone.as is. Some voters only want to vote the party anyway and thats not a bad thing. This change would mask a candidates position.

Steven Ives

sives@tampabay.rr.com

- Received Thursday, February 4, 2010 8:40 PM

AS A 30 YEAR VOTER IN PINELLAS CO. I STRONGLY URGE YOU TO KEEP THE SUPERVISOR OF ELECTIONS OFFICE AS A PARTISAN OFFICE. REPUBLICANS AND DEMOCRATS CAN PUT UP THEIR CANDIDATES THROUGH THR PRIMARY PROCESS AND LET THE VOTERS MAKE THERE CHOICE!!!!

GAIL L. HEBERT

gailhebert@tampabay.rr.com

- Received Thursday, February 4, 2010 10:11 PM

Democrats and Republicans can put the candidates forward and let the people know who they are voting for. Stop the secret politics. No NON partisan voting for constitutional officers, it is just wrong.

Eileen Blackmer

eileenblackmer@yahoo.com

▪ Received Friday, February 05, 2010 11:43 AM

Dear Ladies & Gentlemen:

Please, please, NO MORE Non-Partisan mystery ballots. As a U.S. citizen exercising my voting rights, I WANT and NEED To KNOW WHO I am voting for. I want to know who 'that name' is, what their website link is or where to find out who they are, what they are, besides just another "name on a ballot".

Non-Partisan Ballots are like playing a (kid's) game blindfolded.

Get rid of these misleading, secret ballots.

Thank you.

Mariann C

▪ Received Friday, February 05, 2010 2:50 PM

Sirs:

Please leave the Supervisor of Elections as a partisan race not a non partisan.

This is a position for which we need to know who's in charge and where they stand.

e.j.stodd