AGENDA

1. Transportation Policies and Project Priorities Discussion and Next Steps

Order of items is subject to change. All times are approximate. Break may be taken.

Citizen’s Comments Will Follow Presentation(s)

Any person wishing to speak regarding an agenda topic must complete a comment card and give to the agenda staff at the staff table. The Chairman will call the speakers, one by one, to the podium to be heard. Each speaker may speak up to three minutes. Persons who have been authorized to represent a group of four or more individuals, who are present, should complete a comment card and may speak up to 10 minutes.
In September of 2014, the Pinellas Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) presented the 2040 Long Range Transportation Plan (LRTP) for Pinellas County to the MPO Board for consideration. At that meeting, some concerns were expressed by the County Commissioners on the MPO Board that certain County road projects repeatedly did not rank well using the existing criteria for making funding decisions, and it was suggested that some overall review of the existing criteria might be necessary in order to better support future transportation needs.

Soon after, a County workgroup comprised of Planning, Public Works, and the Office of Management and Budget, with assistance from MPO staff, was convened to reassess and revise the criteria used to determine roadway priorities and transportation needs. In March of 2015, a revised set of roadway project ranking criteria and weights were presented to the Board of County Commissioners (Board) at a work session for review and approval. At that meeting, the Board endorsed the proposed ranking criteria and directed staff to apply them to the list of future roadway projects, which would "re-shuffle" the LRTP list of collector and arterial county road construction projects. Additionally, the Board asked staff to look at the existing Penny list to see what impact using the new criteria might have on any outstanding projects.
Memo to the Honorable Chairman and Members of the Board of County Commissioners
September 15, 2015

This information will be presented to the Board at the September 15 Work Session. Staff will also show the relationship of project priorities to the County's Strategic Plan and the Comprehensive Plan. In addition to re-prioritization of arterial and collector projects, staff will include a discussion on some next steps proposed for the County's transportation program, in recognition that the road network is largely built out. The primary transportation needs of the County are increasingly moving toward roadway resurfacing, restoration, and rehabilitation projects, also known as a 3R program. Staff will provide a description of the program along with examples of the types of projects that would typically occur under a 3R program. Staff will also introduce a couple of broad initiatives on the horizon that may influence future transportation project priorities, such as better integrating future land use and transportation policies and establishing guidelines and standards to implement complete streets projects.

Attachment: BCC Work Session MS PowerPoint presentation
Doing Things!

Transportation Planning and Project Priorities

Board of County Commissioners Workshop
September 15, 2015
Workshop Agenda

 Influences on Transportation Project Priorities
 • Comprehensive Plan
 • Penny for Pinellas
 • Current Conditions
 • County Strategic Plan

 Transportation Project Priorities
 • Construction Projects
 • Resurfacing, Restoration, and Rehabilitation (3R) Program
 • Bridges

 Transportation Policies to Direct Future Project Priorities
 • An Integrated Approach to Planning
 • Mobility Planning
 • Initiatives in Progress and On the Horizon
Background

December 2014 – Pinellas MPO approved 2040 Long Range Transportation Plan

October 2014 to February 2015 – County workgroup convened to re-assess road priorities and transportation needs

March 2015 – BCC reviewed and approved ranking criteria for road construction projects

Planning Ahead – Emphasis on mobility, livability and a multimodal environment requires innovative plans and policies
Influences on Transportation Project Priorities

Pinellas County Comprehensive Plan

- Existing policies throughout the Plan helped determine project priority ranking criteria and weights
  - Crash reduction, economic development, creating livable streets, accessibility to transit, network of trails, etc.
Influences on Transportation Project Priorities

B Penny For Pinellas

• Supports projects that implement policies and achieve objectives within the Comprehensive Plan
• Some project examples since 1990 include:
  – Keystone Road (roadway construction)
  – Bayside Bridge / CR 611
  – Belleair Causeway / Bridge
  – 49th Street at 30th Avenue (intersection improvements)
  – Bryan Dairy Road (roadway construction)
  – Gateway Express (construction to begin in 2016)
  – Belcher Road – *parallel relief* (roadway construction)
  – Gulf Boulevard (enhancements and beautification)
  – Fred Marquis Pinellas Trail
Influences on Transportation Project Priorities

- Limited opportunities for new road and widening projects
- Limited resources – invest strategically
- Mobility emphasis – connecting people and places (i.e. livability)
Influences on Transportation Project Priorities

Pinellas County’s Strategic Plan

• Goals and Strategies – direct
  
  Ensure Public Health, Safety, and Welfare
  
  2.5 Enhance pedestrian and bicycle safety
  
  Foster Continual Economic Growth and Vitality
  
  4.4 Invest in infrastructure to meet current and future needs
  4.5 Provide safe and effective transportation systems to support the efficient flow of motorists, commerce, and regional connectivity
  
  Deliver First Class Services to the Public and Our Customers
  
  5.2 Be responsible stewards of the public’s resources
Influences on Transportation Project Priorities

Pinellas County’s Strategic Plan

- Goals and Strategies – *indirect*

  Practice Superior Environmental Stewardship
  - 3.3 Protect and improve the quality of our water, air, and other natural resources

  Foster Continual Economic Growth and Vitality
  - 4.3 Catalyze redevelopment through planning and regulatory programs

  Deliver First Class Services to the Public and Our Customers
  - 5.3 Ensure effective and efficient delivery of county services and support
Transportation Project Priorities

Construction Projects – County Arterials and Collectors

• **Completed** – since 1990
• **Scheduled** – in Capital Improvement Program
• **Planned** – beyond Capital Improvement Program
Transportation Project Priorities

Review of Road Construction Ranking Criteria and Weights

- Alleviates Congestion (22%)
- Supports Economic Development (16%)
- Crash History (9%)
- Provides Sidewalks (9%)
- Bicycle/Trail Accommodations (9%)
- Status of Project (9%)
- At-Risk/Low-Income Communities (6%)
- Access to Public Facilities (3%)
- Implements Comprehensive Plan (3%)
- Previously in CIP or Penny Commitment (3%)
- Transit Service (3%)
- Hurricane Evacuation Route (3%)
- Corridor Included in Planned Trail Network (3%)

Note: Figures are rounded and do not total 100%
## Transportation Project Priorities

### Arterial Road Construction – Project Rankings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Facility</th>
<th>From</th>
<th>To</th>
<th>Improvement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Starkey Rd</td>
<td>E Bay Dr</td>
<td>Ulmerton Rd</td>
<td>RCST, add lanes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>62nd Ave N</td>
<td>34th St</td>
<td>49th St</td>
<td>RCST, add lanes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Starkey Rd</td>
<td>Ulmerton Rd</td>
<td>Bryan Dairy Rd</td>
<td>RCST, Enhance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Forest Lakes Blvd</td>
<td>SR 580</td>
<td>SR 584</td>
<td>RCST, add lanes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Starkey Rd/Park St</td>
<td>Bryan Dairy Rd</td>
<td>Flame Vine Ave</td>
<td>RCST, add lanes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>102nd Ave</td>
<td>137th St</td>
<td>125th St</td>
<td>RCST, Enhance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>102nd Ave</td>
<td>125th St</td>
<td>113th St</td>
<td>RCST, Enhance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Belleair Rd</td>
<td>US Hwy 19</td>
<td>Keene Rd</td>
<td>RCST, Enhance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>22nd Ave S</td>
<td>58th St S</td>
<td>34th St S</td>
<td>RCST, Enhance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Belcher Rd</td>
<td>NE Coachman Rd</td>
<td>Druid Rd</td>
<td>RCST, Enhance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>62nd Ave N</td>
<td>49th St</td>
<td>68th St</td>
<td>RCST, add center turn lane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Belcher Rd</td>
<td>38th Ave N</td>
<td>54th Ave N</td>
<td>RCST, add center turn lane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Indian Rocks Rd</td>
<td>Walsingham Rd</td>
<td>W Bay Dr</td>
<td>RCST, Enhance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Starkey Rd/Park St</td>
<td>54th Ave N</td>
<td>84th Ln</td>
<td>RCST, Enhance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Sunset Pt Rd</td>
<td>Alt. US Hwy 19</td>
<td>Keene Rd</td>
<td>RCST, Enhance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>102nd Ave</td>
<td>113th St</td>
<td>Seminole Bd</td>
<td>RCST, Enhance</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes**
1) RCST = Reconstruction
2) Enhance = upgraded to urban standards, including curb/gutter
3) All improvements include installation of bike lanes and sidewalks
Transportation Project Priorities

Arterial Roads

Our Vision: To Be the Standard for Public Service in America
Transportation Project Priorities

Collector Road Construction – Project Rankings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Facility</th>
<th>From</th>
<th>To</th>
<th>Improvement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>28th St</td>
<td>38th Ave N</td>
<td>54th Ave N</td>
<td>RCST, Enhance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>126th Ave</td>
<td>34th St</td>
<td>US Hwy 19</td>
<td>New CST/RCST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Highland Ave</td>
<td>Belleair Rd</td>
<td>E Bay Dr</td>
<td>RCST, Enhance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>142nd Ave</td>
<td>66th St</td>
<td>Belcher Rd</td>
<td>RCST, Enhance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>16th Ave SE/ Donegan Rd</td>
<td>Lake Ave</td>
<td>Seminole Bd</td>
<td>New CST/RCST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>46th Ave N</td>
<td>49th St</td>
<td>38th St</td>
<td>RCST, Enhance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Haines Rd</td>
<td>51st Ave N</td>
<td>I-275</td>
<td>RCST, Enhance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>142nd Ave/ Donegan Rd</td>
<td>Belcher Rd</td>
<td>Lake Ave</td>
<td>New CST/RCST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Nursery Rd</td>
<td>Highland Ave</td>
<td>Belcher Rd</td>
<td>RCST, Enhance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>102nd Ave</td>
<td>Dr MLK Jr St</td>
<td>28th St</td>
<td>New CST/RCST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>35th St</td>
<td>46th Ave N</td>
<td>40th Ave N</td>
<td>RCST, Enhance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>40th St</td>
<td>40th Ave N</td>
<td>Lown St</td>
<td>RCST, Enhance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>37th St</td>
<td>46th Ave N</td>
<td>54th Ave N</td>
<td>RCST, Enhance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Nursery Rd</td>
<td>Belcher Rd</td>
<td>US Hwy 19</td>
<td>RCST, Enhance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>28th St</td>
<td>Haines Rd</td>
<td>62nd Ave N</td>
<td>RCST, Enhance</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes

1) CST = Construction; RCST = Reconstruction
2) Enhance = upgraded to urban standards, including curb/gutter
3) All improvements include installation of bike lanes and sidewalks
Transportation Project Priorities

Collector Roads

Our Vision: To Be the Standard for Public Service in America
Transportation Project Priorities

Keystone Road – before improvements

Keystone Road – after improvements

County Road 1 – before improvements

County Road 1 – after improvements

Our Vision: To Be the Standard for Public Service in America
Transportation Project Priorities

3R Project-Based Program

• Transportation needs are changing from new road building and widening to resurfacing, restoration, and rehabilitation (3R)

• 3R program is a well-established method used throughout the State and appropriate in a mostly built-out county

• Provides an opportunity to revisit roadway design, function, and surrounding land use context (e.g. complete streets)

• Provides an opportunity to address stormwater needs, drainage issues, and water quality improvements
Transportation Project Priorities

3R Project-Based Program

- Less expensive program than construction – can accomplish more throughout the entire County!
- First priority is to keep the roads operating safely and efficiently
- Resurfacing projects are typically tied to a schedule (preventative maintenance and ongoing repairs)
- Project priorities must be flexible (e.g., need ability to respond to complaints, take advantage of opportunities, etc.)
Transportation Project Priorities

3R-Type Project Examples

- Upgraded urban cross section and drainage
- Enhanced crosswalks and bike lanes
- Resurfaced and restriped
- Added bike and High Occupancy Vehicle lanes, bus bay, and Low Impact Development landscaping
Transportation Project Priorities

Pinellas County Project Example

Gulf Boulevard – without improvements

Gulf Boulevard – with improvements
Transportation Project Priorities

B Bridges

- 140 County-maintained bridges – overall good condition
  - Average age: 29 years
  - Average sufficiency rating: 87
    - Bridges rated 80 and above require only regular maintenance
  - Average health rating: 90
    - A bridge rating of 85 means bridge is safe, but some repairs needed
- Future inevitable ‘lifespan’ issues will require increased funding for bridges over time
Transportation Project Priorities

Next Steps...

- Laying the ground work for the next Penny For Pinellas
- Utilize modified construction project rankings criteria and weights and update the Comprehensive Plan and CIP
- Include revised construction project priorities in an amended/updated Long Range Transportation Plan (Pinellas MPO)
Transportation Project Priorities

**Next Steps...**

- Begin development of a 3R program approach to future transportation improvements
- Incorporate additional criteria into the process of prioritizing 3R-type projects that consider community context and mobility needs for walking, bicycling and transit access
Transportation Policies to Direct Future Project Priorities

E An Integrated Approach to Planning

- Better integrate the Future Land Use Element (FLUE) and Transportation Element (TE) in the Comprehensive Plan
  - Transportation planning decisions should consider land use and urban design characteristics and vise versa
  - Plan for Activity Centers and Multimodal Corridors
  - Need overlapping, supportive policies to improve mobility and create great places to live, work and play
Transportation Policies to Direct Future Project Priorities

An Integrated Approach to Planning

- Coordinate with local governments to create context-sensitive solutions to transportation issues
- Utilize transportation to support economic development
- Coordinate with countywide planning programs of the MPO / PPC
Transportation Policies to Direct Future Project Priorities

It’s All About Mobility

• Focus on *multimodal* transportation and *complete streets*
  – Appropriate mixes of automobile, bicycle, walk, transit, freight
  – Provide more viable mode choice options
  – Increase mode share equality
  – Increase *connectivity* and *accessibility*
  – Better connect *generators* to *attractors* – people travel because they need to get somewhere!
Transportation Policies to Direct Future Project Priorities

Doing Things to Serve the Public

- Initiatives currently in progress
  - Updates to the FLUE, TE, and Capital Improvements Element
  - Full Land Development Code update
  - Mobility Management System adoption

- Initiatives on the horizon
  - Major updates to (and integration of) the FLUE and TE
  - Complete Streets guidelines and standards
  - RESTORE Act proposal to conduct a countywide sea level rise vulnerability assessment of our critical infrastructure
Thank you!