

Clearwater, Florida, May 3, 2017

The Board of Adjustment (BA) met in regular session in the County Commission Assembly Room, Fifth Floor, Pinellas County Courthouse, 315 Court Street, Clearwater, Florida on this date with the following members present: Joe C. Burdette, Chairman; Deborah J. White, Vice-Chairman; Vince Cocks; John Doran; Alan C. Bomstein; Cliff Gephart; and Stephen G. Watts.

Also Present: Glenn Bailey, Planning Department Zoning Manager; Chelsea D. Hardy, Assistant County Attorney; Todd F. Myers, Environmental Code Enforcement Director; Renea Vincent, Planning Director; other interested individuals; and Lynn Abbott, Board Reporter, Deputy Clerk.

CALL TO ORDER

Chairman Burdette called the meeting to order at 9:00 A.M.

PUBLIC HEARING ITEMS

Due notice having been given to interested persons pursuant to Comprehensive Zoning Ordinance No. 90-1, public hearings were held on the following applications. All persons planning to give testimony were duly sworn by the Deputy Clerk.

\* \* \* \*

Deviating from the agenda, Chairman Burdette indicated that Item No. 8 would be heard at this time.

\* \* \* \*

#8 APPLICATION OF SPROUT'S FARMERS MARKET THROUGH ROSS McARTHUR, REPRESENTATIVE, FOR A VARIANCE (BA-01-05-17) – GRANTED WITH CONDITIONS

Public hearing was held on the application of Sprout's Farmers Market through Ross McArthur for a variance to allow 199 square feet of attached signage on the east building elevation where a maximum of 150 square feet is allowed, re property located at 33650 U.S. Highway 19 North in Palm Harbor (BA-01-05-17). No correspondence has been received by the Clerk.

Ms. Vincent presented the following staff recommendation:

Recommend Denial. Staff cannot support the request as it does not meet the criteria for granting a variance in Section 138-113 of the Pinellas County Land Development Code, specifically in regard to:

1. *Special Conditions.* That special conditions and circumstances exist which are peculiar to the land, structure, or building involved, including the nature of and to what extent these special conditions and circumstances may exist as direct results from actions by the applicant.
2. *No Special Privilege.* That granting the variance request will not confer on the applicant any special privilege that is denied by this chapter to other similar lands, buildings, or structures in the same zoning district.
3. *Unnecessary Hardship.* That literal interpretation of the provisions of this chapter would deprive the applicant of rights commonly enjoyed by other properties in the same zoning district under the terms of this chapter.

Ms. Vincent discussed the reasons for staff's recommendation of denial, noting that the applicant is not using the available square footage associated with the second frontage on Nebraska Avenue and has not demonstrated hardship.

Ross McArthur, San Marcos, CA, appeared and indicated that he represents the applicant and, responding to comment by Ms. Vincent, related that hardship is demonstrated by the building's location behind a business and a tree line blocking visibility. He requested that the square footage attributed to the second frontage be transferred to the east building elevation and noted that the variance request is for placement of a national branding sign.

No one appeared in response to the Chairman's call for objectors to the application.

Following discussion, Mr. Cocks compared the request to a case approved last month regarding exposure to U.S. Highway 19 North. In response to a request by the Chairman, Program Planner Ryan Brinson referred to Mr. Cocks' comments and discussed the Board's previous action, noting that a transfer of unused signage could be allowed, as long as the cumulative square footage does not exceed 300 square feet.

Ms. Vincent referred to a photograph depicting the proposed signage and related that the area highlighted in yellow is the extent of the additional square footage, noting that seeing the additional lettering from U.S. Highway 19 North would be difficult, and discussion ensued. Mr. Bomstein discussed the precedent of transferring square footage when there

are two frontages and one of the two frontages is forfeited, noting that the main sign is not in excess of the allowed signage for the building.

In response to query by Mr. Bomstein, Mr. McArthur indicated that the applicant will forfeit the square footage on the north elevation.

Thereupon, Mr. Bomstein moved, seconded by Mr. Cocks, that the variance be granted with the following conditions:

1. The applicant shall obtain all required permits and pay all applicable fees.
2. All allowable wall signage for the Nebraska Avenue frontage shall be forfeited.

Upon call for the vote, the motion carried unanimously.

#1 APPLICATION OF CLIFF AND DIXIE CANNON FOR A VARIANCE (BA-06-05-17)  
– GRANTED AS PER STAFF RECOMMENDATION

Public hearing was held on the application of Cliff and Dixie Cannon for a variance to allow for the construction of a 6-foot-high fence with a 0-foot front setback and an associated entrance gate with a 20-foot front setback where 50 feet is required, re property located at 322 Pasaje Avenue in the unincorporated area of Tarpon Springs (BA-06-05-17). No correspondence has been received by the Clerk.

Ms. Vincent presented the following staff recommendation:

Recommend Conditional Approval. Staff has no objection to the conditional approval of the request. The subject property is located at the terminus of a dead-end street and is partially surrounded by a large conservation easement; therefore, the proposed fence and entrance gate will pose minimal impacts. Approval should be subject to the following conditions:

1. The applicant shall obtain all required permits and pay all applicable fees.
2. Sight distance requirements shall be met.

3. The location of the fence shall be consistent with the submitted concept plan, unless made conforming to code.
4. The fence shall not be electrified or contain barb wire, unless done in a manner conforming to code.

Ms. Vincent related that the property is located at the end of a dead-end street and surrounded by a conservation easement; and that conversations with the applicant have indicated a severe coyote issue.

Dixie Cannon, Tarpon Springs, indicated that she is the applicant and stated that she agrees to the conditions for approval.

No one appeared in response to the Chairman's call for objectors to the application; whereupon, Mr. Watts moved, seconded by Ms. White, that the variance be granted as recommended by staff. Upon call for the vote, the motion carried unanimously.

#2 APPLICATION OF SANDRA AND THOMAS ROSS FOR A VARIANCE (BA-05-05-17) – GRANTED AS PER STAFF RECOMMENDATION

Public hearing was held on the application of Sandra and Thomas Ross for a variance to allow for the construction of an attached garage having a 20-foot front setback where 25 feet is required, a 4-foot side setback where 7.5 feet is required, and an 8-foot rear setback where 10 feet is required, re property located at 355 Henry Lane in Crystal Beach (BA-05-05-17). No correspondence has been received by the Clerk.

Ms. Vincent presented the following staff recommendation:

Recommend Conditional Approval. Staff has no objection to the conditional approval of the request. The subject property is small at 0.07 acre (50 feet x 59 feet), and there is no other reasonable location to place the garage without impacting mature trees. In addition, the requested garage would enhance the property and surrounding area by replacing existing dilapidated decks and a shed. Approval should be subject to the following conditions:

1. The applicant shall obtain all required permits and pay all applicable fees.

2. A minimum 25 percent of the property must remain open, permeable area.

Ms. Vincent related that the small property is approximately the size of half of an original plotted lot; that the house has been standing for quite some time; that no other location on the property could accommodate a garage; and that the proposed garage would replace an existing dilapidated deck and shed.

Thomas and Sandra Ross, Crystal Beach, appeared and indicated that they are the applicants; and that the proposed garage is smaller than the existing structure.

In response to the Chairman's call for objectors to the application, Jeane Purpura, Crystal Beach, pointed out the location of her duplex in relation to the subject property and indicated that an alley separates her property and the location of the proposed garage; that access to one of the duplex units is provided by the alley; and that bushes planted on the property line are overgrown.

In response to queries by the members, Ms. Vincent related that the County owns the alley, and Chairman Burdette indicated that the County handles complaints regarding alley maintenance; and that alley maintenance is not within the purview of the Board; whereupon, Ms. Vincent indicated that Mr. Brinson will collect contact information and follow up to ensure that the alley does not impact surrounding property.

In response to query by Chairman Burdette, Mr. Ross stated that he owns the bushes; and that they will be removed once the garage is built.

Thereupon, Mr. Bomstein moved, seconded by Mr. Watts, that the variance be granted as per staff recommendation. Upon call for the vote, the motion carried unanimously.

#3 APPLICATION OF LAMSON CORPORATION THROUGH RICK L. MYRICK, NUROOM CORPORATION, REPRESENTATIVE, FOR A VARIANCE (BA-04-05-17) – GRANTED AS PER STAFF RECOMMENDATION

Public hearing was held on the application of Lamson Corporation through Rick L. Myrick for a variance to allow for the construction of an attached garage having a 10-foot front setback where 20 feet is required, re property located at 306 Driftwood Drive West in Palm Harbor (BA-04-05-17). No correspondence has been received by the Clerk.

Ms. Vincent presented the following staff recommendation:

Recommend Conditional Approval. Staff has no objection to the conditional approval of the request provided the proposed garage does not create a situation where a car cannot be parked in the driveway without encroaching into the adjacent public right-of-way. The Board has approved similar variances along Driftwood Drive in the past, including the adjacent property to the south. Approval should be subject to the following conditions:

1. The applicant shall obtain all required permits and pay all applicable fees.
2. The proposed garage shall be side-loading.
3. All other required setbacks shall be met.

Ms. Vincent referred to a survey and pointed out that the proposed side-loading garage allows for a complete parking area out of the public right-of-way, noting that similar variances have been granted.

Rick L. Myrick, Clearwater, appeared and indicated that he represents the applicant.

No one appeared in response to the Chairman's call for objectors to the application; whereupon, Mr. Bomstein moved, seconded by Mr. Doran, that the variance be granted as per staff recommendation. Upon call for the vote, the motion carried unanimously.

#4 APPLICATION OF JAMES MANDALAS THROUGH RHEA MAUGROS, REPRESENTATIVE, FOR A VARIANCE (BA-08-05-17) – GRANTED AS PER STAFF RECOMMENDATION

---

Public hearing was held on the application of James Mandalas through Rhea Maugros for a variance to allow for the construction of an attached garage having an 8-foot front setback where 20 feet is required, re property located at 304 Driftwood Drive West in Palm Harbor (BA-08-05-17). No correspondence has been received by the Clerk.

Ms. Vincent presented the following staff recommendation:

Recommend Conditional Approval. Staff has no objection to the conditional approval of the request provided the proposed garage does not

create a situation where a car cannot be parked in the driveway without encroaching into the adjacent public right-of-way. The Board has approved similar variances along Driftwood Drive in the past. Approval should be subject to the following conditions:

1. The applicant shall obtain all required permits and pay all applicable fees.
2. The proposed garage shall be side-loading.
3. All other required setbacks shall be met.

In response to query by Chairman Burdette, Ms. Vincent confirmed that the request was advertised as an 8-foot setback.

James Mandalas, Palm Harbor, and Rhea Maugros, Tarpon Springs, appeared and indicated that they are seeking the proposed variance.

No one appeared in response to the Chairman's call for objectors to the application; whereupon, Mr. Bomstein moved, seconded by Mr. Watts, that the variance be granted as per staff recommendation. Upon call for the vote, the motion carried unanimously.

#5 APPLICATION OF ST. MARK VILLAGE, INC. THROUGH KEVIN J. BESSOLO, BESSOLO DESIGN GROUP, REPRESENTATIVE, FOR A SPECIAL EXCEPTION (BA-09-05-17) – GRANTED AS PER STAFF RECOMMENDATION

Public hearing was held on the application of St. Mark Village, Inc. through Kevin J. Bessolo for a special exception to allow overflow parking from an adjacent use, re property located at 2550 Highlands Boulevard in Palm Harbor (BA-09-05-17). The Clerk has received two letters in support of and one letter in opposition to the application.

Ms. Vincent presented the following staff recommendation:

Recommend Conditional Approval. Staff has no objection to the conditional approval of the requested special exception, provided the Board is satisfied that the "Standards" of Section 138-238, Division 7, of the Pinellas County Land Development Code have been met. Approval of the request should be subject to the following conditions:

1. The applicant shall obtain all required permits and pay all applicable fees.
2. Appropriate site plan review.
3. Any associated lighting shall be directed/shielded away from nearby residential properties.
4. Appropriate buffering shall be installed along the east property line.
5. Ingress/egress shall be only from the south, as depicted on the concept plan.

Referring to the survey, Ms. Vincent discussed the proposed parking layout and requirements for overflow parking. In response to query by Chairman Burdette, she related that the overflow parking lot must be paved.

Kevin Bessolo, St. Petersburg, appeared and indicated that he represents the applicant.

No one appeared in response to the Chairman's call for objectors to the application.

Responding to queries by Mr. Bomstein and Ms. White, Mr. Bessolo provided background information, noting that St. Mark Village purchased the property in 2013; that the extra parking needed for events would be located in the back of the building; and that there would be no access to Highlands Boulevard.

Thereupon, Mr. Bomstein moved, seconded by Mr. Doran, that the special exception be granted as per staff recommendation. Upon call for the vote, the motion carried unanimously.

#6 APPLICATION OF STEPHEN L. BARBER FOR THREE VARIANCES (BA-07-05-17)  
– GRANTED AS PER STAFF RECOMMENDATION

Public hearing was held on the application of Stephen L. Barber for a variance to allow for the construction of an attached garage/carport having a 25-foot front setback where 50 feet is required and a 5.5-foot side setback where 25 feet is required, a variance to allow for the construction of a screen room with a 40-foot front setback where 50 feet is required, and a variance to allow an existing above-ground pool to remain with an 11.3-foot side setback where 25 feet is required, re property located at 1217 Lake Avenue Southeast in the

May 3, 2017

unincorporated area of Largo (BA-07-05-17). No correspondence has been received by the Clerk.

Ms. Vincent presented the following staff recommendation:

Recommend Conditional Approval. Staff has no objection to the conditional approval of the requests. An existing septic tank/field and mature trees prevent the proposed garage/carport from being placed further to the rear of the property. In addition, the proposed screen room will not encroach further into the front setback than the existing home, which was built prior to the establishment of the zoning code. Lastly, according to the applicant, the above-ground pool has been in place for over 20 years and is nearing the end of its useful life. It is their intention to eventually replace it with an in-ground pool that meets all required setbacks. Approval of the requests should be subject to the following conditions:

1. The applicant shall obtain all required permits and pay all applicable fees.
2. The above-ground pool shall be removed out of the required setbacks no later than five years after the variance approval date. Any new pool shall meet required setbacks.

Ms. Vincent referred to the boundary survey and discussed the property's environmental conditions, noting that the applicant intends to remove the pool when finances allow.

Stephen L. Barber, Largo, appeared and indicated that he is the applicant and, responding to query by Chairman Burdette, agreed to the conditions.

No one appeared in response to the Chairman's call for objectors to the application; whereupon, Mr. Bomstein moved, seconded by Mr. Watts, that the variances be granted as recommended by staff. Upon call for the vote, the motion carried unanimously.

#7 APPLICATION OF JERRI MENAUL THROUGH CHRISTOPHER P. WICKS, II, GLOBAL SIGN AND AWNING, REPRESENTATIVE, FOR A VARIANCE (BA-03-05-17) – SIDE SETBACK REQUEST GRANTED AS PER STAFF RECOMMENDATION; SIGN SIZE REQUEST APPROVED AS MODIFIED

Public hearing was held on the application of Jerri Menaul through Christopher P. Wicks, II, for a variance to allow an existing 124-square-foot sign to remain with a 1-foot side setback where a maximum of 46 square feet is allowed and a minimum 10-foot side setback is required, re property located at 1750 North Hercules Avenue in the unincorporated area of Clearwater (BA-03-05-17). No correspondence has been received by the Clerk.

Ms. Vincent presented the following staff recommendations:

Recommend Conditional Approval of the Side Setback Request. Staff has no objection to the conditional approval of the side setback request due to the narrowness of the subject property and the sign’s historical placement in its current location. Approval should be subject to the following conditions:

1. The applicant shall obtain all required permits and pay all applicable fees.
2. Sight distance requirements shall be met.

Recommend Denial of the Sign Size Request. Staff recommends denial of the sign having 124 square feet where a maximum 46 square feet is allowed, as it does not meet the criteria for granting a variance in Section 138-113 of the Pinellas County Land Development Code, specifically in regard to:

1. *Special Conditions.* That special conditions and circumstances exist which are peculiar to the land, structure, or building involved, including the nature of and to what extent these special conditions and circumstances may exist as direct results from actions by the applicant.
2. *No Special Privilege.* That granting the variance request will not confer on the applicant any special privilege that is denied by this chapter to other similar lands, buildings, or structures in the same zoning district.

3. *Unnecessary Hardship.* That literal interpretation of the provisions of this chapter would deprive the applicant of rights commonly enjoyed by other properties in the same zoning district under the terms of this chapter.

Ms. Vincent referred to a Google street view showing a depiction of the sign that existed in May of 2016 and a photograph of the current sign, noting that changes were made without permits. In response to queries by Mr. Bomstein, she discussed the size of the flag sign.

Christopher P. Wicks, II, Clearwater, appeared and indicated that he represents the applicant.

No one appeared in response to the Chairman's call for objectors to the application.

Mr. Wicks presented the request, noting that moving the sign would reduce the number of parking spaces and the size of the handicapped entrance; that the architecture of the building does not allow for a wall sign; and that he would forfeit the wall sign for the transfer of the additional square footage needed, and discussion ensued regarding allowable square footage for free-standing and building signs.

Ms. Vincent referred to an aerial photograph of the property and described existing sight visibility issues; whereupon, Development Review Services Director Blake Lyon discussed concerns regarding the proximity of the sign and parking area to the right-of-way, traffic speed, and visual obstruction, and lengthy discussion ensued.

Ms. Vincent discussed a potential compromise that included the applicant agreeing to forfeit wall signage and reconfigure the free-standing sign, and Mr. Lyon clarified that the applicant could raise the mounting or make the sign double-sided to address the visibility issues; whereupon, Jerri Menaul, Clearwater, appeared and indicated that she is the applicant; and that she understands the proposed compromise.

During discussion and in response to queries by Mr. Gephart, Mr. Wicks indicated that he hung the sign and noted that it has been in place for over a year; whereupon, Mr. Myers related that a complaint was received on August 11, 2016; and that Code Enforcement issued a warning notice, resulting in the variance request.

May 3, 2017

In response to queries by the members, Ms. Menaul discussed the content of the existing sign, and Mr. Wick indicated that the sign had been changed because the public was confused about the nature of the applicant's business, noting that business has increased since the placement of the sign.

Mr. Bomstein indicated that he would be willing to grant additional signage due to the hardship of the frontage as long as the bottom of the sign is eight feet off the ground. Noting that the top sign is 36 square feet, Mr. Gephart suggested that the bottom sign be the same; whereupon, following input by Ms. Vincent and the members, Mr. Bomstein moved, seconded by Mr. Doran, that the variance be granted with the following conditions:

1. The applicant shall obtain all required permits and pay all applicable fees.
2. Sight distance requirements shall be met.
3. The total allowable free standing sign area shall not exceed 72 square feet.

Upon call for the vote, the motion carried unanimously.

#9 APPLICATION OF JAMES AND LINDA PARISH THROUGH HERBERT ELLIOTT, REPRESENTATIVE, FOR TWO VARIANCES (BA-02-05-17) – SOLAR PANEL REQUEST GRANTED WITH CONDITIONS; CAGE STRUCTURE REQUEST CONTINUED TO JULY 6, 2017 MEETING

Public hearing was held on the application of James and Linda Parish through Herbert Elliott for a variance to allow existing solar panels to remain with a minimum 12-foot front setback from the Highland Avenue right-of-way and a minimum 30-foot setback from the East Lake Drive right-of-way where 50 feet is required, and a variance to allow existing cage structures to remain with a minimum 20-foot front setback from the Highland Avenue right-of-way where 50 feet is required, re property located at 1012 East Lake Drive in East Lake Tarpon (BA-02-05-17). The Clerk has received six letters in opposition to the application.

Ms. Vincent presented the following staff recommendation:

Recommend Denial. The County cannot support the proposal at this time because the applicant has not provided the necessary materials as repeatedly requested. More specifically, the County has requested a wetland survey

(i.e., jurisdictional determination) for the subject property. There is a possibility that certain structures on the property are either within wetland areas or within the required upland buffers surrounding wetlands.

Ms. Vincent presented background information regarding the Code violations, noting that the first pertains to the solar structures in the front yard setbacks, and the second relates to the cage structures located within a wetland or a wetland buffer area, and suggested that the two issues be considered separately. She discussed repeated requests that the applicant submit a wetland survey to verify cage structure encroachment, relating that the information has not been provided; that the Code enforcement actions have been stayed; and that the cage structure presents a potential environmental impact.

Herbert Elliott, Tarpon Springs, indicated that he represents the applicant and requested direction from the Board regarding separation of the issues; whereupon, Chairman Burdette agreed with Mr. Gephart's comment that the issues are different and bifurcated the request, indicating that the solar panels will be addressed first.

Mr. Elliott presented information relating to variance, noting that the applicant's eight solar panels were placed on moveable trailers to follow the sun's movement; and that the property contains a dense tree population and power lines. Referring to a handout, he summarized the definition of *structure* and discussed his concern that the County is defining trailers as structures based upon the County ordinance, noting that all trailers, trucks, cars, and bicycles parked in front yards located in an unincorporated area are in violation of the ordinance.

James Parish, Tarpon Springs, appeared and indicated that he is the applicant. In response to queries by Mr. Elliott, he provided background information, indicating that he has been a journeyman electrician for 40 years; and that he works for a solar manufacturer as a solar energy instructor. He discussed the importance of panel movement, noting that the four trailers are parked in the property's only clearing; that the trailers must be moved to avoid shading issues that disrupt solar energy production and for protection from hurricane winds; and that the trailers are available to provide portable power during disasters.

Responding to queries by Mr. Elliott regarding the Highland Avenue visibility triangle, Mr. Parish related that the trailers present no line of sight issues; that there is a four-foot decorative wooden fence across the front of the property line located in front of the trailers; and that the only sight issue is caused by a hedge located on the north side of the property, noting that the County would have issued violations for any problems a long time ago. He

May 3, 2017

answered members' questions related to property zoning, the purpose of the solar panels, use of power generated by the panels, length of residence on the property, additional storage structures, and problems related to the narrowness of the property.

In response to queries by Mr. Gephart, Mr. Myers discussed the lack of parking restrictions for licensed trailers and related that the issue is the parking of movable structures within a setback for considerable lengths of time; whereupon, Mr. Bomstein provided input, and discussion ensued.

Responding to the Chairman's call for objectors to the application, Edward and Tracy Kames, Tarpon Springs, appeared and expressed their concerns, noting that height of the solar panels obstructs the view of oncoming traffic; that the structures are an eyesore; and that property values have dropped.

In response to queries by Chairman Burdette and Mr. Watts, Director of Development Review Services Blake Lyon addressed sight visibility safety issues and explained the process to calculate visibility zones for sidewalks and roadways, noting that a site plan review evaluates sight visibility and safety issues; and that the analysis has not been performed. Referring to photographs, he discussed allowable personal and agricultural uses based on the property zoning and land use designation.

In rebuttal, Mr. Parish provided background information regarding panel height, date of installation, and ownership of the property's easement and right-of-way, noting that the railroad holds an easement, and Duke Energy allows parking on the right-of-way. He stated that he is willing to cooperate with the County if a determination is made that there is a visibility triangle issue and provided information related to his net meter agreement with Duke Energy, solar equipment field testing and research, solar panel size requirements, and fences.

Responding to the Chairman's call for persons wishing to speak regarding the application, the following neighbors appeared and expressed their support:

James D. Brand, Jr., Tarpon Springs  
Sue Zelenko, Tarpon Springs  
Shannon Colbert, Trinity  
Cynthia Burney, Tarpon Springs

Ms. Vincent suggested that a representative site plan be brought in with regard to the zoning clearance, and Chairman Burdette agreed that a site plan review needs to be conducted.

May 3, 2017

Following discussion, Mr. Doran moved, seconded by Ms. White, that the solar panel variance be granted with the following conditions:

1. Applicant is to obtain all required permits and pay all applicable fees.
2. Sight distance requirements shall be met.

Upon call for the vote, the motion carried 6 to 1, with Mr. Watts casting the dissenting vote.

Chairman Burdette suggested that the cage structure request be continued, and Mr. Elliott agreed; whereupon, Ms. Vincent indicated that, although she has no objection to the Chairman's recommendation to continue the wetlands case, she does object to a continuing stay of the Code Enforcement action, and gave a brief status of the case, noting that the wetland line has been staked but not the entire wetland area; and that a survey is needed to resolve the case; whereupon, Mr. Myers presented a history of the case, noting that warning notices on the related violations were issued in June of 2016; and that Code Enforcement has been working with the owners to get a wetlands survey.

In response to query by the Chairman, Mr. Elliott requested a 60-day deferral, and Attorney Hardy provided input.

Responding to the Chairman's call for objectors to the continuance, Edward and Tracy Kames, Tarpon Springs, appeared and expressed their objections, noting that the applicant has had many continuances and sufficient time to provide the proper documentation.

The members provided input; whereupon, Mr. Bomstein moved, seconded by Mr. Watts, that the case be continued, per the applicant's request, to July 6, 2016. Upon call for the vote, the motion carried 6 to 1, with Mr. Doran casting the dissenting vote.

#### MINUTES OF THE APRIL 6, 2017 MEETING – APPROVED

Mr. Gephart moved, seconded by Mr. Watts, that the minutes of the meeting of April 6, 2017, be approved. Upon call for the vote, the motion carried unanimously.

May 3, 2017

ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion by Mr. Bomstein, seconded by Mr. Watts and carried unanimously, the meeting was adjourned at 10:27 A.M.

---

Chairman